

Matrix

To gain more insight into the idempotent approach, we work out the details for S_3 .

■ Regular Representation of S_3

The multiplication table of S_3 is:

S_3	e	(12)	(23)	(31)	(123)	(321)
e	e	(12)	(23)	(31)	(123)	(321)
(12)	(12)	e	(123)	(321)	(23)	(31)
(23)	(23)	(321)	e	(123)	(31)	(12)
(31)	(31)	(123)	(321)	e	(12)	(23)
(123)	(123)	(31)	(12)	(23)	(321)	e
(321)	(321)	(23)	(31)	(12)	e	(123)

Using the definition for regular representation:

$$D^R(g_m)^i_j = \Delta^i_{mj} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g_i = g_m g_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$D^R[e] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^R[(12)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^R[(23)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^R[(31)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^R[(123)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^R[(321)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the basis is $\{g_i\}$:

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (31) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(123) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (321) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The dual role of g as operator & vector is easily checked out.

For example,

as group elements: $(123) \cdot (321) = e$

as operators: $D^R [(123)] \cdot D^R [(321)] = D^R [e]$

ie
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

as operation on vectors: $D^R [(123)] \cdot (321) = e$

ie
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The group algebra is the set

$$r = r^i g_i \quad \forall r^i = \text{complex numbers}$$

Considered as vectors, the group algebra is a 6-dimensional vector space &

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} r^e \\ r^{12} \\ r^{23} \\ r^{31} \\ r^{123} \\ r^{321} \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider as operators, the group algebra consists of all 6×6 matrices of the form

$$D^R [r] = \begin{pmatrix} r^e & r^{12} & r^{23} & r^{31} & r^{321} & r^{123} \\ r^{12} & r^e & r^{123} & r^{321} & r^{31} & r^{23} \\ r^{23} & r^{321} & r^e & r^{123} & r^{12} & r^{31} \\ r^{31} & r^{123} & r^{321} & r^e & r^{23} & r^{12} \\ r^{123} & r^{31} & r^{12} & r^{23} & r^e & r^{321} \\ r^{321} & r^{23} & r^{31} & r^{12} & r^{123} & r^e \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that r is not invertible whenever $\det D^R [r] = 0$.

The special matrix form of the elements in the group algebra means that they can all be put into a block-diagonal form by a similarity transformation. Now,

$$\Gamma^R = A + A' + 2E$$

where A, A', E are irreducible representations of S_3 .

Thus, there must exist an invertible matrix S such that:

$$S D^R [r] S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g & h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & j \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis

$$u_i = S g_i$$

Here, u_1 & u_2 each spans a 1-dim invariant subspace, while (u_3, u_4) & (u_5, u_6) each spans a 2-dim invariant subspace.

■ Symmetrizers

In sec 5.3, we showed that the irreducible symmetrizers e_μ of S_3 can be obtained from the standard Young tableaux:

$$\Theta_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \text{ gives } e_1 = s$$

$$\Theta_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{gives } e_2 = s_2 a_2 = e + (12) - (31) - (321)$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\Theta_3 = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{gives } e_3 = a$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\Theta_2^{(23)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{gives } e_2^{(23)} = e + (31) - (12) - (123)$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

In terms of vectors in basis $\{g_i\}$, we have

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad e_2^{(23)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

That these symmetrizers are orthogonal to each other ($e_\mu e_\nu \propto \delta_{\mu\nu}$) can be easily verified by direct calculations.

They are also basis vectors with respect to which $D^R(r)$ are all block diagonal for all $r \in \tilde{G}$.

Since e_2 & $e_2^{(23)}$ are symmetrizers of the 2-dim irreducible representation E , they need to be supplemented by their partners to make the basis complete. This is easily done by multiplying them with g_i .

For example, the partner of e_2 is

$$r_2 = (23)e_2 = (23) + (321) - (123) - (12) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$r_2 = D^R[(23)]e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In terms of operators, we have

$$e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad r_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The various products among r_2 & e_2 can be easily found using, say, mathematica:

$$e_2 e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 & 0 & -3 & -3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & -3 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = 3e_2$$

$$e_2 r_2 = 0$$

$$r_2 e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & -3 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & -3 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 3 r_2$$

$$r_2 r_2 = 0$$

The partner of $e_2^{(23)}$ is

$$r_2^{(23)} = (23) e_2^{(23)} = (23) + (123) - (321) - (31) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that although the basis $\{e_2, r_2, e_2^{(23)}, r_2^{(23)}\}$ are orthogonal to e_1 & e_3 , its members are not mutually orthogonal. This is expected since the 2 irreducible representations are equivalent. An orthogonal basis is easily obtained by means of the Schmidt orthogonalization method.

In terms of operators, we have

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad e_2^{(23)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

■ Projection Operators

Let L_1 be an invariant subspace or left ideal of L .

Let $\{u_i\}$ be a basis of L_1 .

We can then always choose a basis of L by adding to $\{u_i\}$ enough independent vectors to span L . This basis is of the form $\{\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}\}$ where all v 's are orthogonal to all u 's.

An arbitrary vector in L is then of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

where u is in L_1 .

Every operator with respect to which L_1 is invariant must then be of the form

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } A, B, C, 0 \text{ are matrices of appropriate dimensions}$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Au \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L_1$$

Note that R is of this form only with respect to the basis $\{\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}\}$. It is easy to see that an arbitrary similarity transform will in general destroy it.

On the other hand, any properties of R derived under this special basis will be valid in a general basis if the said properties are invariant under a similarity transform.

Consider now the form of a projection operator P in this basis. By definition

$$P \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall u, v$$

we see that we must be of the form

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Obviously, $\det P = 0$, so that P^{-1} doesn't exist.

If we demand

$$P^2 = P$$

we have

$$P^2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 & AB \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$A^2 = A \quad \& \quad AB = B$$

The 2nd relation is automatically satisfied if $B = AF$ for arbitrary F .

Thus, the general solution is

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P' & P'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where P' is an idempotent operator ($P'^2 = P'$) and Q is arbitrary.

A special solution is $P' = I$ so that

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The effects of P on an arbitrary operator $R = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ are:

$$PR = \begin{pmatrix} P' & P'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P'A + P'QC & P'B + P'QD \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$RP = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P' & P'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AP' & AP'Q \\ CP' & DP'Q \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} PRP &= \begin{pmatrix} P' & P'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} AP' & AP'Q \\ CP' & DP'Q \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} P'AP' + P'QCP' & P'AP'Q + P'QDP'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

If L_1 is invariant under R , $C = 0$, so that

$$PR = \begin{pmatrix} P' & P'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P'A & P'B + P'QD \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$RP = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P' & P'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AP' & AP'Q \\ 0 & DP'Q \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} PRP &= \begin{pmatrix} P' & P'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} AP' & AP'Q \\ 0 & DP'Q \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} P'AP' & P'AP'Q + P'QDP'Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in general,

$$PR \neq RP$$

If we impose the condition

$$PR = RP \quad \forall R$$

P must be of the form

$$P = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha = \text{constant}$$

The condition

$$P^2 = P$$

then restricts α to the value 1.

We emphasize that P is in this simple form only with respect to the basis $\{\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}\}$.

Under a similarity transform wrt

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (S^{-1})_{11} & (S^{-1})_{12} \\ (S^{-1})_{21} & (S^{-1})_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} SP S^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (S^{-1})_{11} & (S^{-1})_{12} \\ (S^{-1})_{21} & (S^{-1})_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (S^{-1})_{11} & (S^{-1})_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} S_{11}(S^{-1})_{11} & S_{11}(S^{-1})_{12} \\ S_{21}(S^{-1})_{11} & S_{21}(S^{-1})_{12} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

If $PR = RP \quad \forall R$

we have

$$P^2 R = PR = PRP = RP \quad (P^2 = P)$$

Let

$$A B |x\rangle = C |y\rangle = |z\rangle$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} P A B |x\rangle &= P C |y\rangle = P |z\rangle \\ &= P A P P B P P |x\rangle && \text{if } P R = R P = P R P \\ &= A_P B_P |x_P\rangle \\ &= P C P P |y\rangle = C_P |y_P\rangle \\ &= |z_P\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript denotes the projected component.

Thus, the projector preserves the operator multiplication if it commutes with every operator.

Consider

$$A A^{-1} = E$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P A A^{-1} &= P E \\ &= P A P P A^{-1} P = P \\ &= A_P A^{-1}_P = E_P \end{aligned}$$

Thus, P is the projected component of the identity. It also plays the role of the identity operator for projected operators A_P .

■ Idempotents

The basis for D^R is just the group elements $\{g_i\}$.

Let the basis of the completely decomposed representation \mathcal{D} be $\{u_{\mu a}\}$, where μ runs through all the irreducible representations & $a = 1, \dots, d_\alpha$ with d_α being the dimension of the representation α . By definition,

$$\mathcal{D}(r)^{\alpha a}_{\beta b} = \delta^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{D}^\alpha(r)^a_b \quad \forall r \in \tilde{G}$$

These two basis must be related by an invertible transformation S :

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\alpha a} &= g_i S^i_{\alpha a} \\ g_i &= u_{\alpha a} S^{\alpha a}_i \end{aligned}$$

with

$$S^i_{\alpha a} S^{\alpha a}_j = \delta^i_j \quad S^i_{\alpha a} S^{\beta b}_i = \delta^{\beta \alpha} \delta^b_a$$

Group multiplication among $\{u_{\mu a}\}$ is found with the help of the regular representation

$$D^R(g_i)^j_k = \Delta^j_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } g_j = g_i g_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\beta b} u_{\alpha a} &= g_j S^j_{\beta b} g_i S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k \Delta^k_{ji} S^j_{\beta b} S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k D^R(g_j)^k_i S^j_{\beta b} S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k D^R(g_j S^j_{\beta b})^k_i S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k D^R(u_{\beta b})^k_i S^i_{\alpha a} \end{aligned}$$

By definition

$$\mathcal{D}(r)^{\alpha a}_{\beta b} = S^{\alpha a}_j D^R(r)^j_i S^i_{\beta b} = \delta^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{D}^\alpha(r)^a_b$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} D^R(u_{\beta b})^k_i S^i_{\alpha a} &= S^k_{\gamma c} \mathcal{D}(u_{\beta b})^{\gamma c}_{\alpha a} \\ &= S^k_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\beta b})^c_a \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\beta b} u_{\alpha a} &= g_k S^k_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\beta b})^c_a \\ &= u_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\beta b})^c_a \end{aligned}$$

which is simply the definition of irreducible representation \mathcal{D}^α of the operator $u_{\beta b}$ with respect to the irreducible basis set $\{u_{\alpha a}; a = 1, \dots, d_\alpha\}$.

Since L^α is an invariant subspace, it is meaningful to write

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &= \sum_\alpha u_{\alpha a} S^{(\alpha)a}_i \\ &= \sum_\alpha g_{i\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where (α) means that α is exempted from the Einstein summation notation, &

$$g_{i\alpha} = u_{\alpha a} S^{(\alpha)a}_i$$

In particular, the identity element $E \equiv g_1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= u_{\alpha a} S^{\alpha a}_1 = \sum_\alpha u_{\alpha a} S^{(\alpha)a}_1 = \sum_\alpha g_{1\alpha} \\ g_{1\alpha} &= u_{\alpha a} S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \end{aligned}$$

The idempotent e_α is defined as a vector proportional to a basis vector, say, $u_{\alpha 1}$, of L^α :

$$e_\alpha = c_\alpha u_{\alpha 1}$$

where c_α is a constant. Furthermore, we demand

$$E = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha$$

This means that e_α is equal to $g_{1\alpha}$ for a special choice of S such that

$$S^{\alpha a}_1 = \delta^a_1 S^{\alpha 1}_1 \quad \forall \alpha$$

Thus

$$e_\alpha = u_{\alpha 1} S^{(\alpha)1}_1 \quad \text{or} \quad c_\alpha = S^{\alpha 1}_1$$

We also have

$$S^i_{\alpha a} S^{\alpha a}_1 = \delta^i_1 = S^i_{\alpha 1} S^{\alpha 1}_1$$

The representation of e_α in L^α is, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha |u_{\alpha a}\rangle &= |u_{\alpha b}\rangle \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^b_a \\ &= u_{\alpha 1} S^{(\alpha)1}_1 |u_{\alpha a}\rangle \\ &= |u_{\alpha b}\rangle \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\alpha 1})^b_a S^{(\alpha)1}_1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^b_a = \delta^b_a$$

Next, we demand e_α to be the projection operator onto one of the basis, say, $u_{\alpha 1}$, of L^α .

Thus, with respect to the basis $\{u_{\alpha a}; a = 1, \dots, d_\alpha\}$,

$$e_\alpha |u_{\alpha a}\rangle = |u_{\alpha b}\rangle \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^a_b$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^a_b = \delta^a_1 \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^1_b$$

or

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^1_1 & \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^1_1 & \dots & \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}[e_\alpha]^1_{d_\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To see if

Let us examine the definition of the projector P_α :

$$P_\alpha |r\rangle \equiv |r e_\alpha\rangle$$

Writing

$$r = r^j g_j = r^{\alpha a} u_{\alpha a}$$

we have

$$r e_\alpha = r^{\beta b} u_{\beta b} u_{(\alpha)a} S^{(\alpha)a}_1$$

To evaluate $u_{\beta b} u_{\alpha a}$, we need the regular representation

$$D^R(g_i)^j_k = \Delta^j_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\beta b} u_{\alpha a} &= g_j S^j_{\beta b} g_i S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k \Delta^k_{ji} S^j_{\beta b} S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k D^R(g_j)^k_i S^j_{\beta b} S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k D^R(g_j S^j_{\beta b})^k_i S^i_{\alpha a} \\ &= g_k D^R(u_{\beta b})^k_i S^i_{\alpha a} \end{aligned}$$

By definition

$$\mathcal{D}(r)^{\alpha a}_{\beta b} = S^{\alpha a}_j D^R(r)^j_i S^i_{\beta b} = \delta^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{D}^\alpha(r)^a_b$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} D^R(u_{\beta b})^k_i S^i_{\alpha a} &= S^k_{\gamma c} \mathcal{D}(u_{\beta b})^{\gamma c}_{\alpha a} \\ &= S^k_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\beta b})^c_a \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\beta b} u_{\alpha a} &= g_k S^k_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\beta b})^c_a \\ &= u_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\beta b})^c_a \end{aligned}$$

which is simply the definition of irreducible representation \mathcal{D}^α of the operator $u_{\beta b}$ with respect to the irreducible basis set $\{u_{\alpha a}; a = 1, \dots, d_\alpha\}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r e_\alpha &= r^{\beta b} u_{\beta b} u_{(\alpha)a} S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \\ &= r^{\beta b} u_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{\beta b})^c_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \\ &= u_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(r^{\beta b} u_{\beta b})^c_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \\ &= u_{\alpha c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(r)^c_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $r e_\alpha \in L^\alpha$ so that P_α is indeed a projector onto L^α .

Obviously, this relation can also be written down immediately by treating r as an operator & e_α a vector in the invariant subspace L^α .

Consider now the product $e_\alpha r e_\alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha r e_\alpha &= e_\alpha u_{(\alpha)c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(r)^c_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \\ &= u_{(\alpha)b} S^{(\alpha)b}_1 u_{(\alpha)c} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(r)^c_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \\ &= u_{(\alpha)f} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(u_{(\alpha)b})^f_c S^{(\alpha)b}_1 \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(r)^c_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \\ &= u_{(\alpha)f} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(e_\alpha)^f_c \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(r)^c_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \\ &= u_{(\alpha)f} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha)}(e_\alpha r)^f_a S^{(\alpha)a}_1 \end{aligned}$$