

I.7. Properties of the Time Evolution Operator

The group properties of \hat{U} were declared in §1.6 on physical grounds. Here, mathematical proofs are supplied.

a) Fundamental Composition Law

$$\hat{U}(t, t') = \hat{U}(t, t_1) \hat{U}(t_1, t') \quad \forall t > t_1 > t' \quad (1.254)$$

For \hat{H} time-independent, proof is trivial using (1.232).

For \hat{H} time-dependent, (1.252) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(t, t_1) \hat{U}(t_1, t') &= \hat{T} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^t d t_2 \hat{H}(t_2) \right) \right] \hat{T} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^{t_1} d t_3 \hat{H}(t_3) \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{T} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^t d t_2 \hat{H}(t_2) \right) \exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^{t_1} d t_3 \hat{H}(t_3) \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{T} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^t d t_2 \hat{H}(t_2) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^{t_1} d t_3 \hat{H}(t_3) \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{T} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d t_2 \hat{H}(t_2) \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{U}(t, t') \end{aligned} \quad (1.255)$$

b) Unitarity

Evolution of the system is governed by $\hat{U}(t, t')$ with $t > t'$. This is therefore called the **causal** (or **retarded**) case. In contrast, $t < t'$ is called the **anticausal** (or **advanced**) case. The latter case may be unobservable physically, it is obviously valid mathematically.

Setting $t = t'$ in (1.254) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \hat{U}(t, t_1) \hat{U}(t_1, t) \\ \rightarrow \hat{U}(t, t_1)^{-1} &= \hat{U}(t_1, t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.256)$$

Let

$$| \Psi(t) \rangle = \hat{U}(t, t') | \Psi(t') \rangle \quad (1.257)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(t, t')^{-1} | \Psi(t) \rangle &= \hat{U}(t, t')^{-1} \hat{U}(t, t') | \Psi(t') \rangle \\ &= | \Psi(t') \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.258)$$

On the other hand, if we allow anticausal evolution, then

$$| \Psi(t') \rangle = \hat{U}(t', t) | \Psi(t) \rangle \quad (1.258a)$$

Since (1.258) & (1.258a) are valid for all $\Psi(t)$, we recover (1.256).

For \hat{H} time-independent, (1.232) gives

$$\hat{U}(t', t) = e^{-i(t'-t)\hat{H}/\hbar} = \hat{U}(t, t')^{-1}$$

and

$$\hat{U}^\dagger(t, t') = e^{i(t-t')\hat{H}/\hbar}$$

Hence, if \hat{H} is hermitian, then

$$\hat{U}(t, t')^{-1} = \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t') \quad (1.260)$$

and \hat{U} is unitary.

In order to prove (1.260) for a time-dependent hamiltonian, we define the **time-antiordering operator** as one that re-orders any product of operators so that the time arguments of the ones on the left are always earlier than those on the right:

$$\hat{T}[\hat{O}_1(t_1) \dots \hat{O}_n(t_n)] = \hat{O}_{i_1}(t_{i_1}) \dots \hat{O}_{i_n}(t_{i_n}) \quad t_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq t_{i_n} \quad (1.262a)$$

Using (1.241), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \hat{T}[\hat{O}_1(t_1) \dots \hat{O}_n(t_n)] \right\}^+ &= \left\{ \hat{O}_{i_n}(t_{i_n}) \dots \hat{O}_{i_1}(t_{i_1}) \right\}^+ \\ &= \hat{O}_{i_1}^+(t_{i_1}) \dots \hat{O}_{i_n}^+(t_{i_n}) \\ &= \hat{T}[\hat{O}_1^+(t_1) \dots \hat{O}_n^+(t_n)] \\ &= \hat{T}[\hat{O}_n^+(t_n) \dots \hat{O}_1^+(t_1)] \\ &= \hat{T} \left\{ \left[\hat{O}_1(t_1) \dots \hat{O}_n(t_n) \right]^+ \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.262)$$

The iteration result (1.239) is valid irregardless of which one of t & t' is larger. Hence, for $\hat{U}(t', t)$ with $t > t'$, we need only replace \hat{T} with \hat{T} when applying (1.252):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(t', t) &= \hat{T} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_t^{t'} d t_1 \hat{H}(t_1) \right] \quad t > t' \\ &= \hat{T} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d t_1 \hat{H}(t_1) \right] \\ &= \hat{U}^{-1}(t, t') \end{aligned} \quad (1.261)$$

where the last equality comes from (1.256).

On the other hand, using (1.262), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}^+(t, t') &= \left\{ \hat{T} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d t_1 \hat{H}(t_1) \right] \right\}^+ \quad t > t' \\ &= \hat{T} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d t_1 \hat{H}^+(t_1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

If $\hat{H}(t)$ is hermitian, then

$$\hat{U}^{-1}(t, t') = \hat{U}^+(t, t') \quad (1.263)$$

i.e., \hat{U} is unitary, as promised.

c) Schrodinger Equation for $\hat{U}(t, t')$

As already stated in § 1.6,

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t') |\Psi(t')\rangle \quad (1.264)$$

implies \hat{U} satisfies an equivalent of the Schrodinger eq.

$$i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{U}(t, t') = \hat{H}(t) \hat{U}(t, t') \quad (1.265)$$

Taking the adjoint, we get

$$-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{U}^+(t, t') = \hat{U}^+(t, t') \hat{H}^+(t)$$

If $\hat{H}(t)$ is hermitian, then

$$-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{U}^+(t, t') = \hat{U}^{-1}(t, t') \hat{H}(t) \quad (1.266)$$

Setting $t = t'$ in (1.264) gives

$$\hat{U}(t, t) = 1 \tag{1.267}$$

which serves as the initial condition for (1.265) and (1.266).

Eqs (1.254), (1.260) & (1.267) make the set of all $\hat{U}(t, t')$ an Abelian group.