## 1.10. Time Evolution Amplitude

The matrix elements of the time evolution operator in the x-representation are called time evolution amplitudes, or propagators :

$$(\mathbf{x}, t \mid \mathbf{x}', t') \equiv \langle \mathbf{x} \mid \hat{U}(t, t') \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle \tag{1.295}$$

They give the probability amplitudes of finding the particle at point x at time t given that it was at point x' at time t'.

For  $\hat{H}$  time-independent,

$$(\mathbf{x}, t \mid \mathbf{x}', t') = \langle \mathbf{x} \mid e^{-i\hat{H}(t-t')/\hbar} \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle$$
(1.296)

Taking the matrix elements of the eq. of motion for  $\hat{U}$ , (1.265), we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \mathbf{x} \mid \hat{U}(t, t') \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x} \mid \hat{H} \hat{U}(t, t') \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle$$

$$= \int d^{3} x'' \langle \mathbf{x} \mid \hat{H} \mid \mathbf{x}'' \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}'' \mid \hat{U}(t, t') \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle$$

$$= \int d^{3} x'' H \left( \mathbf{x}, \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla, t \right) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'') \langle \mathbf{x}'' \mid \hat{U}(t, t') \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle$$

$$= \left[ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H \left( \mathbf{x}, \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla, t \right) \right] (\mathbf{x}, t \mid \mathbf{x}', t') = 0$$

$$(1.297)$$

The retarded time evolution operator is defined as

$$\hat{U}^{R}(t, t') \equiv \begin{cases} \hat{U}(t, t') & \text{for } t > t' \\ 0 & \text{for } t < t' \end{cases}$$
 (1.298)

along with the associated retarded time evolution amplitudes

$$(\mathbf{x}, t \mid \mathbf{x}', t')^{R} \equiv \langle \mathbf{x} \mid \hat{U}^{R}(t, t') \mid \mathbf{x}' \rangle$$
(1.299)

Using the Heaviside step function,

$$\Theta(t) \equiv \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 
0 & \text{for } t < 0 
\end{cases}$$
(1.300)

we have

$$\hat{U}^{R}(t, t') = \Theta(t - t') \,\hat{U}(t, t')$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x}, t \mid \boldsymbol{x}', t')^{R} = \Theta(t - t') \,(\boldsymbol{x}, t \mid \boldsymbol{x}', t')$$
(1.301)

It is easily checked that, for any a > 0,

$$\int_{-a}^{t} dt' \, \delta(t') = \Theta(t)$$

Hence,

$$\delta(t) = \frac{d\Theta(t)}{dt} \tag{1.303}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{U}^{R}(t, t') = \delta(t - t') + \theta(t - t') \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{U}(t, t')$$
(1.303a)

where  $\hat{U}(t', t') = 1$  was used.

Taking the matrix elements of (1.303a) and then using (1.297), we get the equation of motion for the retarded propagator,

$$\left[i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-H\left(\mathbf{x},\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla,t\right)\right](\mathbf{x},t\mid\mathbf{x}',t')^{R}=i\hbar\delta(t-t')\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') \quad (1.304)$$

As can be seen from (1.296), these propagators depend only on the time difference t - t' if  $\hat{H}$  is timeindependent.

A retarded function

$$f(t) = 0 \qquad \forall \quad t < 0 \tag{1.306a}$$

has a characteristic Fourier transform

$$\tilde{f}(E) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{iEt/\hbar} f(t)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{iEt/\hbar} f(t)$$
(1.306)

Consider now the evaluation of the inverse transform

$$f(t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE}{2\pi\hbar} e^{-iEt/\hbar} \, \tilde{f}(E)$$

as the real-axis part of a contour integral in the complex *E*-plane.

For t < 0, the contour must be closed in the upper-half plane so that contribution from the great arc vanishes. Hence, (1.306a) is automatically satisfied if  $\tilde{f}(E)$  is analytic in the upper-half plane.

Using  $\Theta(t)$  as an example, we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}(E) = \frac{i}{E + i \, n} \qquad \eta \to 0^+$$

The single pole in the lower-half plane at  $E = -i \eta$  makes

$$\Theta(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE}{2\pi\hbar} e^{-iEt/\hbar} \frac{i}{E + i\eta}$$

$$= 1 \qquad \text{for } t > 0$$
(1.308)

Note that, the case t = 0 is undefined in (1.308), which is why we define  $\Theta$  using (1.300). On the other hand, we are free to assign any finite value to  $\Theta(0)$  without changing the crucial relation (1.303). Kleinert defined 3 different step functions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta(t) & & & \\ \Theta^R(t) & & \text{if} & & \Theta(0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{see} (1.300) \\ 1 & \text{see} (1.302) \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{see} (1.309) \end{array} \right.$$

As with the  $\delta$ -function,  $\Theta$  is properly a distribution and is used safely only inside an integral, e.g., as the kernel for a linear functional of smooth test functions:

$$\Theta[f] = \int dt \,\Theta(t - t') \,f(t') \tag{1.310}$$

A closely related distribution is

$$\varepsilon(t) \equiv \Theta(t) - \Theta(-t) \tag{1.311}$$

$$= \begin{cases}
1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\
0 & \text{for } t = 0 \\
-1 & \text{for } t < 0
\end{cases}$$
(1.312)

which is independent of the choice of  $\Theta(0)$ .