

## 2.1. Quantum Mechanics

In quantum mechanics, the set of all possible quantum states of the system is a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The classical Cartesian coordinates  $q_i$  & momenta  $p_i$  become operators  $Q_i$  &  $P_i$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , respectively. Quantization is achieved by the **commutation relations** [ see also eq(2.9) ]

$$[Q_j, P_k] \equiv Q_j P_k - P_k Q_j = i \hbar \delta_{jk} \quad (2.1)$$

Note:

1. For an  $N$ -particle system in  $d$ -D space,  $i = 1, \dots, dN$ .
2. Quantization in non-Cartesian coordinates is a complicated problem that should be addressed in terms of differential geometry.

A **Hilbert space** is roughly a vector space with an inner product.

Vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$  are called states. In the Dirac bra-ket notation, a state  $\phi$  is denoted as a ket  $|\phi\rangle$ .

The inner product of states  $\phi$  &  $\psi$  is denoted as

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle^* \quad (2.3)$$

The bra  $\langle \psi | = (|\psi\rangle)^\dagger$  is a member of the dual space of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The eigenstates of  $\mathbf{Q}$  &  $\mathbf{P}$  as given by

$$\mathbf{Q} | \mathbf{q} \rangle = \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q} \rangle \quad \mathbf{P} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p} \rangle \quad (2.2)$$

are complete

$$\int d\mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | = I \quad \int d\mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} | = I \quad (2.5)$$

& orthogonal with delta-normalization

$$\langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \quad \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p}' \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \quad (2.4)$$

$\langle \mathbf{q} |$  Eq(2.1)  $| \mathbf{q}' \rangle$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{q} | [Q_j, P_k] | \mathbf{q}' \rangle &= i \hbar \delta_{jk} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle \\ \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{q} | q_j P_k - P_k q_j' | \mathbf{q}' \rangle &= i \hbar \delta_{jk} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \\ &= (q_j - q_j') \langle \mathbf{q} | P_k | \mathbf{q}' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we've assumed  $\mathbf{Q}$  &  $\mathbf{P}$  are hermitian so that

$$\langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{Q} = \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q} \quad \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{P} = \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p}$$

Assuming the domain of integration includes the point  $x'$ , we have

$$\int dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta(x - x') = 0$$

&

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx f(x) (x - x') \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta(x - x') &= - \int dx \delta(x - x') \left[ \frac{df}{dx} (x - x') + f(x) \right] \\ &= - \int dx \delta(x - x') f(x) \\ &= -f(x') \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow (x - x') \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta(x - x') = -\delta(x - x')$$

Setting  $x \leftrightarrow x'$ , we have

$$(x - x') \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x - x') = \delta(x - x') \quad [ \delta(x - x') = \delta(x' - x) ]$$

Hence,

$$\langle \mathbf{q} | P_j | \mathbf{q}' \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle \\
&= -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j'} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \langle \mathbf{q} | P_j | \mathbf{p} \rangle &= p_j \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle && \text{[ Eq(2.2) used.]} \\
&= \int d\mathbf{q}' \langle \mathbf{q} | P_j | \mathbf{q}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{q}' | \mathbf{p} \rangle && \text{[ Eq(2.5) used.]} \\
&= -\int d\mathbf{q}' \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}')}{\partial q_j'} \langle \mathbf{q}' | \mathbf{p} \rangle && \text{[ Eq(2.6) used.]} \\
&= \int d\mathbf{q}' \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j'} \langle \mathbf{q}' | \mathbf{p} \rangle && \text{[Integration by part]} \\
&= \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = \mathbf{p} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle$$

$$\langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = C e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} / \hbar}$$

$\langle \mathbf{q} | \text{Eq(2.5)} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\mathbf{p} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle = \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle \\
\rightarrow \int d\mathbf{p} |C|^2 e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') / \hbar} &= \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \\
&= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') / \hbar} \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore |C|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \quad (n = \text{dimension of } \mathbf{p}.)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{n/2}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} / \hbar} \tag{2.7}$$

Checking for consistency,  $\langle \mathbf{p} | \text{Eq(2.5)} | \mathbf{p}' \rangle$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\mathbf{q} \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p}' \rangle = \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p}' \rangle \\
\rightarrow \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{e^{i(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{q} / \hbar}}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} &= \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')
\end{aligned}$$

as expected.

Warning: Eq(2.7) in Swanson's book is a typo.

However, if one insists on using

$$\langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} / \hbar}$$

then one must set

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p}' \rangle &= \int d\mathbf{q} \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p}' \rangle \\
&= \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{e^{i(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{q} / \hbar}}{(2\pi\hbar)^{2n}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$$

instead of eq(2.4). Also,

$$\int d\mathbf{p} \langle \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{q}' \rangle = \int d\mathbf{p} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') / \hbar}}{(2\pi\hbar)^{2n}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}')$$

so that the completeness of  $|\mathbf{p}\rangle$  takes the form

$$\int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} |\mathbf{p}\rangle \langle \mathbf{p}| = I \quad (2.5a)$$

instead of eq(2.5).

One guideline for quantizing a classical system is to replace the Poisson bracket with the corresponding commutator:

$$\{A(p, q), B(p, q)\}_{p, q} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar} [A(P, Q), B(P, Q)] \quad (2.9)$$

of which eq(2.1) is a special case.

Eq(1.49) then becomes

$$\frac{dO}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [O, H] + \frac{\partial O}{\partial t} \quad (2.10)$$

Closer examination shows that this corresponds to the Heisenberg picture formalism & is true only if  $H$  is hermitian [see eq(2.21)].

In Newtonian mechanics, motion of a system is a path (or curve) in phase space and time is a parameter that describes the progress along the path.

In the **Schrodinger picture** of non-relativistic quantum mechanics, evolution of the system is a path in the Hilbert space and time is a parameter that describes the progress along the path. This evolution is governed by the Schrodinger eq.

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle_S = H |\psi(t)\rangle_S \quad (2.11)$$

where  $H = H(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, t)$  is the hamiltonian.

In the  $q$ -representation, one chooses  $\{|\mathbf{q}\rangle\}$  as basis. The  $\mathbf{q}^{\text{th}}$  component of eq(2.11) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \mathbf{q} | \psi(t) \rangle_S &= \langle \mathbf{q} | H | \psi(t) \rangle_S \\ &= H \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}, t \right) \langle \mathbf{q} | \psi(t) \rangle_S \end{aligned}$$

Defining the wave function as

$$\psi(\mathbf{q}, t) = \langle \mathbf{q} | \psi(t) \rangle_S$$

one recovers the more familiar form

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\mathbf{q}, t) = H \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}, t \right) \psi(\mathbf{q}, t) \quad (2.12)$$

The **expectation value** of observable  $O(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$  when the system is in state  $|\psi\rangle$  is given by

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi | O | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$$

Unless otherwise stated, we shall assume  $|\psi\rangle$  normalized, i.e.

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$$

so that

$$\langle O \rangle = \langle \psi | O | \psi \rangle \quad (2.13a)$$

In the  $q$ -representation, one has

$$\langle O \rangle = \int d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{q}' \langle \psi | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | O | \mathbf{q}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{q}' | \psi \rangle$$

For a 1-particle system or if there's no interaction between particles,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{q} | O | \mathbf{q}' \rangle &= \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') O\left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}'}, \mathbf{q}'\right) \\ \rightarrow \langle O \rangle &= \int d\mathbf{q} \psi^*(\mathbf{q}) O\left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}\right) \psi(\mathbf{q}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13b)$$

In the Schrodinger picture, evolution is embodied by  $\psi$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O(t) \rangle &= {}_S \langle \psi(t) | O | \psi(t) \rangle_S \\ &= \int d\mathbf{q} \psi^*(\mathbf{q}, t) O\left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{q}\right) \psi(\mathbf{q}, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Eq(2.13) suggests the interpretation of  $|\psi(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2$  as a probability density.

If no particle is added or removed from the system, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_S \langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle_S &= 1 \\ &= \int d\mathbf{q} |\psi(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

i.e., the wave function is normalized at all times.

The **evolution operator**  $U$  is defined by

$$|\psi(t)\rangle_S = U(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S$$

If  $H$  is time-independent, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t)\rangle_S &= \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t-t_0)\right) |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S \\ U(t, t_0) &= \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t-t_0)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

If  $H$  is time-dependent, one can solve eq(2.11) by iteration:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t)\rangle_S &= |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) |\psi(t_1)\rangle_S \\ &= |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \left( |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 H(t_2) |\psi(t_2)\rangle_S \right) \\ &= \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \right) |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S + \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 H(t_2) |\psi(t_2)\rangle_S \\ &= \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) + \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 H(t_2) \right) |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S \\ &\quad + \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 H(t_2) \int_{t_0}^{t_2} dt_3 H(t_3) |\psi(t_3)\rangle_S \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^n \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n H(t_n) \right\} |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S \\ \rightarrow U(t, t_0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^n \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n H(t_n) \\ &= 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) + \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 H(t_2) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The **time-order operator**  $T$  arranges a product of operators so that each operator has a later time than every one on its right. For example,

$$T[A(t)B(t')] = \begin{cases} A(t)B(t') & \text{if } t > t' \\ B(t')A(t) & \text{if } t' > t \end{cases} \\ = \theta(t-t')A(t)B(t') + \theta(t'-t)B(t')A(t) \quad (2.20)$$

$$T[A(t_1)B(t_2)C(t_3)] = \begin{cases} A(t_1)B(t_2)C(t_3) & \text{if } t_1 > t_2 > t_3 \\ B(t_2)A(t_1)C(t_3) & \text{if } t_2 > t_1 > t_3 \\ A(t_1)C(t_3)B(t_2) & \text{if } t_1 > t_3 > t_2 \\ C(t_3)A(t_1)B(t_2) & \text{if } t_3 > t_1 > t_2 \\ B(t_2)C(t_3)A(t_1) & \text{if } t_2 > t_3 > t_1 \\ C(t_3)B(t_2)A(t_1) & \text{if } t_3 > t_2 > t_1 \end{cases}$$

In general,  $T[A_1(t_1) \dots A_n(t_n)]$  has  $n!$  possible outcomes of the form

$$A_{j_1}(t_{j_1}) \dots A_{j_n}(t_{j_n}) \quad \text{with } t_{j_1} > \dots > t_{j_n}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n H(t_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \dots \int_{t_0}^t dt_n T[H(t_1) \dots H(t_n)] \\ = \frac{1}{n!} T \left( \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \right)^n$$

so that

$$|\psi(t)\rangle_S = T \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \right) |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S \quad (2.16)$$

$$U(t, t_0) = T \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \right) \\ = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) + \frac{1}{2!} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 T[H(t_1)H(t_2)] + \dots$$

Eq(2.15) implies  $U$  satisfies

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t') = H(t) U(t, t') \quad (2.16a)$$

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U^*(t, t') = U^*(t, t') H^*(t)$$

& has the group properties

$$U(t, t) = 1$$

$$U(t, t') U(t', t'') = U(t, t'')$$

so that

$$U(t, t') U(t', t) = 1$$

$$\rightarrow U^{-1}(t, t') = U(t', t)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_t^{t'} dt_1 H(t_1) + \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_t^{t'} dt_1 H(t_1) \int_t^{t_1} dt_2 H(t_2) + \dots \\ = 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t dt_1 H(t_1) + \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t'}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 H(t_2) + \dots \\ = 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t dt_1 H(t_1) + \frac{1}{2!} \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t'}^t dt_1 \int_{t'}^{t_1} dt_2 \tilde{T}[H(t_1)H(t_2)] + \dots \\ = \tilde{T} \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\tau H(\tau) \right)$$

where  $\tilde{T}$  is the reversed-time-order operator that arranges a product of operators so that each operator has a later time than every one on its left.

If  $H$  is hermitian, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}[H(t_1) \dots H(t_n)] &= \{T[H(t_1) \dots H(t_n)]\}^\dagger \\ \rightarrow U^{-1}(t, t') &= \left[ T \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\tau H(\tau)\right) \right]^\dagger \\ &= U^\dagger(t, t') \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $U$  is unitary.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega - i\varepsilon} \\ \mathcal{J}_C &= \oint_C dz \frac{e^{izt}}{z - i\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{izt}$  is an entire function, the only pole in  $\mathcal{J}_C$  is  $z = i\varepsilon$ .

Let  $C_+$  be the counterclockwise contour that consists of the  $\text{Re } z = \omega$  axis & the semicircle on the upper half plane that connects the ends of the  $\omega$ -axis.

For  $t > 0$ ,  $e^{izt} = 0$  on the semicircle & the pole  $z = i\varepsilon$  is inside  $C_+$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}_{C_+} = 2\pi i e^{-\varepsilon t} = 2\pi i$$

Let  $C_-$  be the clockwise contour that consists of the  $\text{Re } z = \omega$  axis & the semicircle on the lower half plane that connects the ends of the  $\omega$ -axis. Then For  $t < 0$ ,  $e^{izt} = 0$  on the semicircle & the pole  $z = i\varepsilon$  is outside  $C_-$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}_{C_-} = 0$$

$$\therefore \theta(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega - i\varepsilon} \quad (2.18)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} = \delta(t) \quad (2.19)$$

In the Heisenberg picture, the states are related to its counterpart in the Schrodinger picture by

$$|\psi\rangle_H = U(t_0, t) |\psi(t)\rangle_S = |\psi(t_0)\rangle_S$$

so that  $|\psi\rangle_H$  is time-independent.

Of particular interest is the case of time-independent  $H$ . Setting  $t_0 = 0$  gives

$$|\psi\rangle_H = e^{iHt/\hbar} |\psi(t)\rangle_S = |\psi(0)\rangle_S$$

Since matrix elements are measurable quantities, they must be independent of the picture one chooses. For any classical quantity  $O(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t)$ , we set  $O_S(t) \equiv O_S(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} {}_H\langle \psi | O_H(t) | \phi \rangle_H &= {}_S\langle \psi(t) | O_S(t) | \phi(t) \rangle_S \quad (2.22) \\ &= {}_H\langle \psi | U^\dagger(t, t_0) O_S(t) U(t, t_0) | \phi \rangle_H \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow O_H(t) = U^\dagger(t, t_0) O_S(t) U(t, t_0)$$

In particular, for a time-independent & hermitian  $H$  with  $t_0 = 0$ , we have

$$O_H(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar} O_S(t) e^{-iHt/\hbar} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\rightarrow O_H(0) = O_S(0)$$

Using eq(2.16a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d O_H(t)}{dt} &= \frac{\partial U^\dagger(t, t_0)}{\partial t} O_S(t) U(t, t_0) + U^\dagger(t, t_0) O_S(t) \frac{\partial U(t, t_0)}{\partial t} + U^\dagger(t, t_0) \frac{\partial O_S(t)}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} U^\dagger(t, t_0) \left( -H^\dagger(t) O_S(t) + O_S(t) H(t) \right) U(t, t_0) + U^\dagger(t, t_0) \frac{\partial O_S(t)}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left( -H_H^+(t) O_H(t) - O_H(t) H_H(t) \right) + \left( \frac{\partial O(t)}{\partial t} \right)_H$$

where

$$\left( \frac{\partial O(t)}{\partial t} \right)_H = U^+(t, t_0) \frac{\partial O_S(t)}{\partial t} U(t, t_0)$$

If  $H$  is hermitian, we have

$$\frac{d O_H(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [O_H(t), H_H(t)] + \left( \frac{\partial O(t)}{\partial t} \right)_H \quad (2.21)$$

which agrees with eq(2.10).

The transition amplitude from  $|\phi(t_a)\rangle$  to  $|\psi(t_b)\rangle$  is defined as

$$Z(\psi(t_b), \phi(t_a)) = \langle \psi | U(t_b, t_a) | \phi \rangle \quad (2.25)$$

Thus, state  $|\phi\rangle$  is allowed to evolve from  $t_a$  to  $t_b$ . Its overlap with state  $|\psi\rangle$  then gives  $Z$ .

If  $H$  is  $t$ -independent,

$$Z(\psi(t_b), \phi(t_a)) = \langle \psi | e^{-iH(t_b-t_a)/\hbar} | \phi \rangle$$

The probability of such a transition is

$$P = |Z|^2 \quad (2.25a)$$

The main object of interest in the path integral formulism is  $Z$ .