

2.4. Partition Function. Correlation Functions

Assume H to be t -independent with discrete spectrum.

The Quantum Partition Function

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \text{tr } e^{-\beta H}$$

For t -independent H , solution to eq(2.5) is

$$U(t, t') = e^{-(t-t')H/\hbar}$$

so that

$$U(\hbar\beta, 0) = e^{-\beta H}$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \text{tr } U(\hbar\beta, 0)$$

$$= \int d\mathbf{q} \langle \mathbf{q} | U(\hbar\beta, 0) | \mathbf{q} \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0}} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar\varepsilon} \right)^{nd/2} \int \prod_{k=1}^n d^d q_k \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} S[\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon] \right)$$

$$= \int [d\mathbf{q}(t)] \exp\left(-\frac{S(\mathbf{q})}{\hbar} \right) \text{ with } \mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}(\hbar\beta) \quad (2.31)$$

Note that the trace operation means that there're now n , instead of $n-1$, integrals as in eq(2.19).

With $\tau = \frac{t}{\hbar}$, eq(2.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(\mathbf{q})}{\hbar} &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} m \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2(\tau) + V[\mathbf{q}(\tau)] \right\} \\ &= - \int_0^\beta d\tau L \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

The Harmonic Oscillator

For the harmonic oscillator, eq(2.28) gives

$$\langle q'' | U_0(\hbar\beta, 0) | q' \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} S_0[q_c] \right) \mathcal{N}(\omega; \hbar\beta)$$

where, from eq(2.27),

$$S_0[q_c] = \frac{m\omega}{2 \sinh \omega \hbar\beta} \left\{ -2 q' q'' + [(q'')^2 + (q')^2] \cosh \omega \hbar\beta \right\}$$

The periodic boundary condition in eq(2.31) requires $q' = q'' = q_0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} S_0[q_c] &= \frac{m\omega}{\sinh \omega \hbar\beta} q_0^2 (-1 + \cosh \omega \hbar\beta) \\ &= \frac{2m\omega}{\sinh \omega \hbar\beta} q_0^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\omega \hbar\beta}{2} \\ &= \frac{m\omega}{\cosh \frac{\omega \hbar\beta}{2}} q_0^2 \sinh \frac{\omega \hbar\beta}{2} \\ &= m\omega q_0^2 \tanh \frac{\omega \hbar\beta}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= \text{tr } U_0(\hbar\beta, 0) \\
&= \int dq \langle q | U_0(\hbar\beta, 0) | q \rangle \\
&= \mathcal{N}(\omega; \hbar\beta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} m \omega q_0^2 \tanh \frac{\omega \hbar \beta}{2}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{N}(\omega; \hbar\beta) \sqrt{\frac{\pi \hbar}{m \omega \tanh \frac{\omega \hbar \beta}{2}}} \tag{2.33}
\end{aligned}$$

The Large β Limit

Since H is t -independent, $\mathcal{Z}(\beta)$ will not be affected if eq(2.32) is written as

$$\frac{S(\mathbf{q})}{\hbar} = \int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} m \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2(\tau) + V[\mathbf{q}(\tau)] \right\} \tag{2.32a}$$

with the boundary condition $\mathbf{q}(\beta/2) = \mathbf{q}(-\beta/2)$.

In the large β limit, eq(2.32) becomes

$$\frac{S(\mathbf{q})}{\hbar} = \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} m \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2(\tau) + V[\mathbf{q}(\tau)] \right\} \quad \text{with } q(0) = q(\infty)$$

while eq(2.32a) becomes

$$\frac{S(\mathbf{q})}{\hbar} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} m \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2(\tau) + V[\mathbf{q}(\tau)] \right\} \quad \text{with } q(-\infty) = q(\infty)$$

The explicitly time translation invariant version from eq(2.23a) is clearly preferable.

Classical and Quantum Statistical Physics

Consider the discrete time path integral

$$\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) = \int \prod_{k=1}^n dq_k e^{-S[\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon]} \tag{2.34}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
S[\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon] &= \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[m \frac{(q_k - q_{k-1})^2}{2\varepsilon} + \varepsilon V(q_k) \right] \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{q_k - q_{k-1}}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 + V(q_k) \right] \tag{2.34a}
\end{aligned}$$

& the periodic boundary condition

$$q_0 = q_n$$

If we define a hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{2} m \dot{q}_k^2 + V(q_k) \right] \quad \text{with } \dot{q}_k = \frac{q_k - q_{k-1}}{\varepsilon}$$

then

$$\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) = \int \prod_{k=1}^n dq_k e^{-\varepsilon H / \hbar}$$

can be taken as the classical partition function of a 1-D lattice at inverse temperature $\beta \hbar = \varepsilon$.

Note that ε here is necessarily a real time so that the momentum of the particle at site k is $p_k = m \dot{q}_k$. The approximation becomes exact in the thermodynamic limit ($n \rightarrow \infty$) & high temperatures ($\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S(q, q') &= \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[m \frac{(q - q')^2}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon V(q) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon V(q') \right] \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{q - q'}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} [V(q) + V(q')] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

$$\rightarrow S[\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon] = \sum_{k=1}^n S(q_{k-1}, q_k)$$

$$e^{-S[\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon]} = \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-S(q_{k-1}, q_k)}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) = \prod_{k=1}^n \int dq_k e^{-S(q_{k-1}, q_k)}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{T}(q, q') = e^{-S(q, q')}$$

We define the transfer matrix \mathbf{T} by as an operator with matrix elements $\mathcal{T}(q, q')$, i.e.,

$$\langle q' | \mathbf{T} | q \rangle = \mathcal{T}(q, q') = e^{-S(q, q')} \quad (2.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) &= \int dq_1 \dots \int dq_n \langle q_n | \mathbf{T} | q_{n-1} \rangle \dots \langle q_2 | \mathbf{T} | q_1 \rangle \langle q_1 | \mathbf{T} | q_n \rangle \\ &\equiv \prod_{k=1}^n \int dq_k \langle q_k | \mathbf{T} | q_{k-1} \rangle \quad \text{with} \quad q_0 = q_n \\ &= \int dq_n \langle q_n | \mathbf{T}^n | q_n \rangle \\ &= \text{tr} \mathbf{T}^n \end{aligned} \quad (2.36a)$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, \mathbf{T} can be taken as the 1-particle quantum operator

$$\mathbf{T} = e^{-\varepsilon h / \hbar}$$

where, since ε is a real time,

$$h = \frac{1}{2} m (\hat{q})^2 + V(\hat{q}) = \frac{1}{2m} \hat{p}^2 + V(\hat{q}) \quad (2.36b)$$

is the 1-particle hamiltonian so that

$$S(q, q') \approx \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \langle q' | h | q \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{T}(q, q') \approx \langle q' | e^{-\varepsilon h / \hbar} | q \rangle$$

In the thermodynamic limit ($n \rightarrow \infty$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) &\approx \text{tr} e^{-n\varepsilon h / \hbar} \\ &= \sum_k g_k e^{-n\varepsilon e_k / \hbar} \quad (g_k = \text{degeneracy of the } k^{\text{th}} \text{ excited state}) \\ &\approx e^{-n\varepsilon e_0 / \hbar} \end{aligned}$$

where e_0 is the 1-particle ground state energy & we've assumed $g_0 = 1$.

The free energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_n &= -\frac{\hbar}{\varepsilon} \ln \mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) \\ &= n e_0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking $S[\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon]$ as an approximation to the 1-particle action $S(q)$ in eq(2.31), we see that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0}} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar\varepsilon} \right)^{n/2} \mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) \Bigg|_{\varepsilon = \beta\hbar}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \mathcal{Z}(\beta) \\ &= -\frac{\hbar}{\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar\varepsilon} + \ln \mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) \right\} \\ &= n e_0 - \frac{n\hbar}{2\varepsilon} \ln \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar\varepsilon} \\ \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{W}}{n} &= e_0 - \frac{\hbar}{2\varepsilon} \ln \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the 0-D (1-particle) quantum statistics is related to the 1-D classical statistics.

More generally, the d -D (1-particle) quantum statistics is related to the $(d+1)$ -D classical statistics.

Keeping ε small but finite, we see that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} [\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = \text{tr} e^{-\beta H}] \quad (\text{low temperature limit})$$

corresponds to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon) \approx \text{tr} e^{-n\varepsilon h/\hbar}] \quad (\text{thermodynamic limit})$$

Correlation Functions

In classical statistics, an m -point correlation function is given by

$$\langle q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \dots q_{i_m} \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon)} \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^n dq_k \right) q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \dots q_{i_m} e^{-S[\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon]} \quad (2.37)$$

Assuming

$$0 < i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_m < n \quad (2.37a)$$

we can write

$$S[\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon] = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} S(q_{i_{j-1}}, q_{i_j}) \quad \text{with} \quad i_0 = 0 \quad i_{m+1} = n$$

Using eq(2.36), we can integrate all q_k 's except $q_0, q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}, \dots,$ & q_{i_m} to get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \dots q_{i_m} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon)} \int dq_0 \int dq_{i_1} \dots \int dq_{i_m} \langle q_0 | \mathbf{T}^{n-i_m} | q_{i_m} \rangle q_{i_m} \\ &\quad \times \langle q_{i_m} | \mathbf{T}^{i_m-i_{m-1}} | q_{i_{m-1}} \rangle q_{i_{m-1}} \dots q_{i_2} \langle q_{i_2} | \mathbf{T}^{i_2-i_1} | q_{i_1} \rangle q_{i_1} \langle q_{i_1} | \mathbf{T}^{i_1} | q_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

One can check that the power of \mathbf{T} is n , as required by eq(2.36a).

Integrating q_0 , which corresponds to taking the trace, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \dots q_{i_m} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon)} \int dq_{i_1} \dots \int dq_{i_m} \langle q_{i_1} | \mathbf{T}^{n-i_m+i_1} | q_{i_m} \rangle q_{i_m} \\ &\quad \times \langle q_{i_m} | \mathbf{T}^{i_m-i_{m-1}} | q_{i_{m-1}} \rangle q_{i_{m-1}} \dots q_{i_2} \langle q_{i_2} | \mathbf{T}^{i_2-i_1} | q_{i_1} \rangle q_{i_1} \end{aligned}$$

For example,

$$\langle q_4 q_7 \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(10, \varepsilon)} \int dq_4 \int dq_7 \langle q_4 | \mathbf{T}^7 | q_7 \rangle q_7 \langle q_7 | \mathbf{T}^3 | q_4 \rangle q_4$$

By definition,

$$\hat{q} | q \rangle = q | q \rangle$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \dots q_{i_m} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon)} \int d q_{i_1} \dots \int d q_{i_m} \langle q_{i_1} | \mathbf{T}^{n-i_m+i_1} \hat{q} | q_{i_m} \rangle \\ &\quad \times \langle q_{i_m} | \mathbf{T}^{i_m-i_{m-1}} \hat{q} | q_{i_{m-1}} \rangle \dots \langle q_{i_2} | \mathbf{T}^{i_2-i_1} \hat{q} | q_{i_1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(n, \varepsilon)} \text{tr} (\mathbf{T}^{n-i_m+i_1} \hat{q} \mathbf{T}^{i_m-i_{m-1}} \hat{q} \dots \mathbf{T}^{i_2-i_1} \hat{q}) \end{aligned}$$

Small ε

Let

$$t_k = \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} i_k \quad q_{i_k} = q(t_k) \quad \beta = n \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \quad (2.38a)$$

then with eq(2.36b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \dots q_{i_m} \rangle_\beta &= \langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \dots q(t_m) \rangle_\beta \\ &\equiv Z^{(m)}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\beta)} \int [d\mathbf{q}] q(t_1) \dots q(t_m) e^{-S(\mathbf{q})} \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\beta)} \text{tr} (e^{-(\beta-t_m+t_1)h} \hat{q} e^{-(t_m-t_{m-1})h} \hat{q} \dots e^{-(t_2-t_1)h} \hat{q}) \quad (2.38)$$

Thermodynamic Limit

Since $\beta = n \varepsilon$, the thermodynamic limit ($n \rightarrow \infty$) in the classical statistics model is the zero temperature limit ($\beta \rightarrow \infty$) in the quantum statistics model.

Assuming a non-degenerate 1-particle ground state, which is always the case in quantum mechanics, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta) = e^{-\beta \mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\beta \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_0}$$

Using

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\beta)} \langle 0 | e^{-\beta h} = \frac{e^{-\beta \varepsilon_0}}{\mathcal{Z}(\beta)} \langle 0 | = \langle 0 |$$

we have

$$\langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \dots q(t_m) \rangle_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} = \langle 0 | e^{-(t_1-t_m)h} \hat{q} e^{-(t_m-t_{m-1})h} \hat{q} \dots e^{-(t_2-t_1)h} \hat{q} | 0 \rangle$$

If h were a constant, the exponential factors would cancel out. Hence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \dots q(t_m) \rangle_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} &= \langle 0 | e^{-(t_1-t_m)(h-\varepsilon_0)} \hat{q} e^{-(t_m-t_{m-1})(h-\varepsilon_0)} \hat{q} \dots e^{-(t_2-t_1)(h-\varepsilon_0)} \hat{q} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \langle 0 | \hat{q} e^{-(t_m-t_{m-1})(h-\varepsilon_0)} \hat{q} \dots e^{-(t_2-t_1)(h-\varepsilon_0)} \hat{q} | 0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Note that eq(2.37a) dictates

$$0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_m < \beta \quad (2.40a)$$

In particular, for $m = 1$, we have

$$\langle q(t_1) \rangle_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} = \langle 0 | \hat{q} | 0 \rangle$$

which is just a time independent constant & has no dynamical significance.

For $m = 2$, we have

$$\langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} = \langle 0 | \hat{q} e^{-(t_2-t_1)(h-\varepsilon_0)} \hat{q} | 0 \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_k \langle 0 | \hat{q} e^{-(t_2-t_1)(h-e_0)} | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{q} | 0 \rangle \\
&= \sum_k e^{-(t_2-t_1)(e_k-e_0)} \langle 0 | \hat{q} | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{q} | 0 \rangle \\
&= \sum_k e^{-(t_2-t_1)(e_k-e_0)} \left| \langle 0 | \hat{q} | k \rangle \right|^2 \\
&= \left| \langle 0 | \hat{q} | 0 \rangle \right|^2 + e^{-(t_2-t_1)(e_1-e_0)} \left| \langle 0 | \hat{q} | 1 \rangle \right|^2 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\langle q(t) \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} = e^{-(t_2-t_1)(e_1-e_0)} \left| \langle 0 | \hat{q} | 1 \rangle \right|^2 + \dots$$

Note that the restriction eq(2.40a) can be implemented by replacing all “time” differences by there absolute values. Hence

$$\langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} = e^{-|t_2-t_1|(e_1-e_0)} \left| \langle 0 | \hat{q} | 1 \rangle \right|^2 + \dots$$

Reverting to the 1-D lattice interpretation via eq(2.38a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \rangle_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} &\propto e^{-|i_2-i_1|\varepsilon(e_1-e_0)/\hbar} \\
&= e^{-|i_2-i_1|a/\xi} \quad (a = \text{lattice constant})
\end{aligned}$$

so that the correlation length ξ is

$$\xi = \frac{\hbar a}{\varepsilon(e_1 - e_0)}$$

Thus, ξ diverges in the continuum limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Keeping the variables t_1, t_2, \dots, β fixed when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, corresponds to measuring distances on the lattice in correlation length units, that is, in macroscopic units.