

4.2. A Simple Example: The Linear Langevin Equation

Let

$$\dot{q} = -\omega q + v(t) \quad (4.9)$$

where v is the gaussian noise [eq(4.3)] with $\Omega = 1$.

The homogeneous solution is

$$q_h(t) = c e^{-\omega t}$$

which is also the reciprocal of the integrating factor.

The particular solution is [see Arfken],

$$\begin{aligned} q_p(t) &= q_h(t) \int^t ds \frac{v(s)}{q_h(s)} \\ &= e^{-\omega t} \int^t ds v(s) e^{\omega s} \end{aligned}$$

Given the initial condition

$$q(0) = q_0$$

we have

$$q(t) = q_0 e^{-\omega t} + \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} \quad (4.10)$$

Random Walk

Case $\omega = 0$ corresponds to a simple random walk in the continuum.

Eq(4.10) becomes

$$q(t) = q_0 + \int_0^t ds v(s) \quad (4.10a)$$

Using eq(4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t) \rangle_v &= q_0 + \int_0^t ds \langle v(s) \rangle_v \\ &= q_0 \end{aligned}$$

Eq(4.10a) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [q(t) - q_0]^2 \rangle_v &= \left\langle \int_0^t ds v(s) \int_0^t du v(u) \right\rangle_v \\ &= \int_0^t ds \int_0^t du \langle v(s) v(u) \rangle_v \end{aligned}$$

Using eq(4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [q(t) - q_0]^2 \rangle_v &= \int_0^t ds \int_0^t du \delta(s - u) \\ &= \int_0^t ds \\ &= t \end{aligned} \quad (4.10b)$$

Eq(4.10) can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} q(t + \varepsilon) - q(t) &= \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} ds v(s) \\ \rightarrow \langle [q(t + \varepsilon) - q(t)]^2 \rangle_v &= \left\langle \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} ds v(s) \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} du v(u) \right\rangle_v \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} ds \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} du \delta(s-u) \\
&= \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore |q(t+\varepsilon) - q(t)|_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \quad (4.11)$$

Hence, the derivative

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{q(t+\varepsilon) - q(t)}{\varepsilon} = O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$$

is not defined. The path of a random walk (or Brownian motion) is not differentiable. \dot{q} in the Langevin eq. is therefore rather symbolic.

General Case

Taking the average of eq(4.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle q(t) \rangle_v &= q_0 e^{-\omega t} + \int_0^t ds \langle v(s) \rangle e^{-\omega(t-s)} \\
&= q_0 e^{-\omega t}
\end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Eq(4.10) thus becomes

$$q(t) = \langle q(t) \rangle_v + \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} \quad (4.12a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rightarrow \langle [q(t) - \langle q(t) \rangle]^2 \rangle_v &= \left\langle \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} \int_0^t du v(u) e^{-\omega(t-u)} \right\rangle_v \\
&= e^{-2\omega t} \int_0^t ds \int_0^t du e^{\omega(s+u)} \delta(s-u) \quad [\text{eq(4.3) used}] \\
&= e^{-2\omega t} \int_0^t ds e^{2\omega s} \\
&= \frac{e^{-2\omega t}}{2\omega} (e^{2\omega t} - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\omega} (1 - e^{-2\omega t})
\end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Eqs(4.12-3) show that the distribution of q converges (diverges) as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for $\omega > (<) 0$.

Since $q(t)$ is linearly related to $v(t)$, it too has a gaussian distribution $P(q, t)$ characterized by its two first moments $\langle q(t) \rangle_v$ & $\langle q(t)^2 \rangle_v$.

Using eq(4.4) &

$$\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk e^{ikx}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(q, t) &= \langle \delta[q(t) - q] \rangle_v \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \langle \exp(ik[q(t) - q]) \rangle_v
\end{aligned}$$

Eqs(4.12 & 12a) give

$$q(t) - q = q_0 e^{-\omega t} - q + \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rightarrow P(q, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk e^{ik(q_0 e^{-\omega t} - q)} \left\langle \exp\left(ik \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)}\right) \right\rangle_v \\
\mathcal{I} &= \left\langle \exp\left(ik \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)}\right) \right\rangle_v \\
&= \int [dv(u)] \exp\left(ik \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du v(u)^2\right) \\
&= \int [dv(s)] \exp\left(\int_0^t ds \left[ik v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} - \frac{1}{2} v(s)^2\right]\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where every factor involving $v(u)$ with $u \notin [0, t]$ has been integrated to give 1.

As in §4.1, after discretizing, the $v(s)$ integral becomes

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv(s) \exp\left(\varepsilon \left[ik v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} - \frac{1}{2} v(s)^2\right]\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon k^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\right)$$

where the gaussian integral formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-ax^2 + bx} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{b^2/4a}$$

was used.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &= \prod_{s=0}^{t/N} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon k^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\sum_{s=0}^{t/N} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon k^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} k^2 \int_0^t ds e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{4\omega} (1 - e^{-2\omega t})\right) \\
\rightarrow P(q, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \exp\left(ik(q_0 e^{-\omega t} - q) - \frac{k^2}{4\omega} (1 - e^{-2\omega t})\right) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi(1 - e^{-2\omega t})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{1 - e^{-2\omega t}} (q_0 e^{-\omega t} - q)^2\right) \quad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq P(q, t) = 1$$

as befits a probability distribution.

Assuming $\omega > 0$, the equilibrium distribution is

$$\begin{aligned}
P(q) &= P(q, t \rightarrow \infty) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi}} \exp(-\omega q^2) \quad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Remark

For $q_0 = 0$, eqs(4.12 & 4.12a) give

$$q(t) = \int_0^t ds v(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)}$$

The $q(t)$ 2-point function is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_V &= \int_0^{t_1} ds e^{-\omega(t_1-s)} \int_0^{t_2} du e^{-\omega(t_2-u)} \langle v(s) v(u) \rangle_V \\ &= \int_0^{t_1} ds e^{-\omega(t_1-s)} \int_0^{t_2} du e^{-\omega(t_2-u)} \delta(s-u) \quad [\text{eq(4.3) used}] \end{aligned}$$

For $t_1 > t_2$, $\delta(s-u) = 0$ for $s > t_2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_V &= e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)} \int_0^{t_2} ds e^{2\omega s} = \frac{e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)}}{2\omega} (e^{2\omega t_2} - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\omega} (e^{-\omega(t_1-t_2)} - e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)}) \end{aligned}$$

For $t_1 < t_2$, $\delta(s-u) = 0$ for $u > t_1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_V &= e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)} \int_0^{t_1} du e^{2\omega u} = \frac{e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)}}{2\omega} (e^{2\omega t_1} - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\omega} (e^{-\omega(t_2-t_1)} - e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the 2 results, we have

$$\langle q(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_V = \frac{1}{2\omega} (e^{-\omega|t_1-t_2|} - e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)}) \quad (4.16)$$

Differentiating with respect to t_1 , we find

$$\langle \dot{q}(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_V = \frac{1}{2} [-\epsilon(t_1 - t_2) e^{-\omega|t_1-t_2|} + e^{-\omega(t_1+t_2)}] \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t > 0 \\ -1 & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

is the sign function.

Now,

$$\langle \dot{q}(t_1) q(t_2) \rangle_V = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (-1 + e^{-2\omega t_1}) & \text{for } t_1 - t_2 = 0_+ \\ \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-2\omega t_1}) & \text{for } t_1 - t_2 = 0_- \end{cases} \quad (4.17a)$$

so that $\langle \dot{q}(t) q(t) \rangle_V$ is undefined.

However, from eq(4.16), we have

$$\langle q(t)^2 \rangle_V = \frac{1}{2\omega} (1 - e^{-2\omega t})$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \langle q(t) q(t) \rangle_V = e^{-2\omega t}$$

while eq(4.17a) says $\left\langle \frac{d q(t)}{dt} q(t) \right\rangle_V$ is undefined.

Thus, in general, time differentiation and averaging do not commute:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \dots \rangle \neq \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \dots \right\rangle$$

However, setting $\epsilon(0) = 0$ & eq(4.17) becomes

$$\left\langle \frac{d q(t)}{dt} \right\rangle_v = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\omega t}$$

so that time differentiation and averaging commute:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \dots \rangle = \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \dots \right\rangle$$

This is reminiscent of the situation encountered in §3.2.