

5.3. Path Integrals with Fermions

See §§1.4-7 for the basics of Grassmann algebras.

5.3.1. Fermions and Complex Vector Spaces

Let C be a Grassmann (or Clifford) algebra with 2 sets of generators

$$\{ \theta_i, \bar{\theta}_i \}, i = 1, \dots, n$$

The hermitian conjugation is defined as

$$(AB)^+ = B^+ A^+ \quad \forall A, B \in C$$

and

$$\theta_i^+ = \bar{\theta}_i \quad \bar{\theta}_i^+ = \theta_i \quad (5.42)$$

A quadratic form

$$\theta^+ M \theta = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{\theta}_i M_{ij} \theta_j \quad \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)^T$$

is said to be invariant if

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta^+ M \theta)^+ &= \theta^+ M^+ \theta = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{\theta}_i M_{ij}^+ \theta_j \\ &= \theta^+ M \theta = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{\theta}_i M_{ij} \theta_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e., } M^+ = M \text{ or } \bar{M}_{ji} = M_{ij}$$

For example, $d\theta_i d\bar{\theta}_i$ is invariant since, by eq(5.42),

$$(d\theta_i d\bar{\theta}_i)^+ = d\theta_i d\bar{\theta}_i$$

Analytic Grassmann Functions

An analytic Grassmann function f is a function of θ only, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\theta}_i} = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

The set \mathcal{U} of all analytic Grassmann functions forms a sub-algebra.

For the case of $n = 1$, the set of all analytic $f(\theta)$ forms a 2-D complex vector space with basis $\{1, \theta\}$ (see §1.4). Physically, 1 & θ represent the state being empty or occupied by a fermion, respectively.

Scalar Product of Analytic Functions

The counterpart of eq(5.7) is

$$(f, g) = \int \prod_{i=1}^n d\theta_i d\bar{\theta}_i \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\theta}_j \theta_j\right) \overline{f(\theta)} g(\theta) \quad (5.43)$$

$$= \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\theta^* \theta} \overline{f(\theta)} g(\theta) \quad (5.43a)$$

For $n = 1$, we have

$$(f, g) = \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} \overline{f(\theta)} g(\theta) \quad (5.43b)$$

Writing f in terms of the basis $\{1, \theta\}$ gives

$$f(\theta) = a + b\theta \quad (5.43c)$$

which can also be deduced from the defining property [see eq(1.31) of §1.4]

$$\theta^2 = \bar{\theta}^2$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f(\theta)} f(\theta) &= (\bar{a} + \bar{b}\bar{\theta})(a + b\theta) \\ &= \bar{a}a + \bar{b}b\bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{a}b\theta + \bar{b}a\bar{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} = 1 + \bar{\theta}\theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} \overline{f(\theta)} f(\theta) &= (1 + \bar{\theta}\theta)(\bar{a}a + \bar{b}b\bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{a}b\theta + \bar{b}a\bar{\theta}) \\ &= \bar{a}a + (\bar{a}a + \bar{b}b)\bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{a}b\theta + \bar{b}a\bar{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Using [see eq(1.50) of §1.6]

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\theta &= \int d\bar{\theta} = 0 \\ \int d\theta A &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A & \int d\bar{\theta} A &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} A \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f, f) &= \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} (\bar{a}a + \bar{b}b)\bar{\theta}\theta \\ &= \int d\theta (\bar{a}a + \bar{b}b)\theta \\ &= (\bar{a}a + \bar{b}b) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the particular ordering of θ & $\bar{\theta}$ given in eq(5.43b) leads to the required positive norm.

Using

$$\int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} = \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} (1 + \bar{\theta}\theta) = \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}\theta = \int d\theta\theta = 1$$

we have

$$(\theta_k^{m_k}, \theta_l^{m_l}) = \int \prod_{i=1}^n d\theta_i d\bar{\theta}_i \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\theta}_j \theta_j\right) \bar{\theta}_k^{m_k} \theta_l^{m_l} \quad (m_k, m_l = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

If $k \neq l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_k^m, \theta_l^p) &= \int d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k d\theta_l d\bar{\theta}_l (1 + \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k + \bar{\theta}_l \theta_l) \bar{\theta}_k^m \theta_l^p \\ &= \int d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k d\theta_l d\bar{\theta}_l (\bar{\theta}_k^m \theta_l^p + \delta_{m0} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k \theta_l^p + \delta_{p0} \bar{\theta}_l \theta_l \bar{\theta}_k^m) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since every term has at least one $\int d\theta$ or $\int d\bar{\theta}$ factor.

Thus,

$$(\theta_k^m, \theta_l^p) \propto \delta_{kl}$$

If $k = l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_k^m, \theta_k^p) &= \int d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k (1 + \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k) \bar{\theta}_k^m \theta_k^p \\ &= \int d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k (\bar{\theta}_k^m \theta_k^p + \delta_{m0} \delta_{p0} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k) \\ &= \delta_{m1} \delta_{p1} + \delta_{m0} \delta_{p0} \\ &= \delta_{mp} \quad \text{if} \quad \theta_k^m \text{ \& } \theta_k^p \neq 0, \text{ i.e., } m, p \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow (\theta_k^m, \theta_l^p) = \begin{cases} \delta_{kl} \delta_{mp} & \text{if } m, p \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\{ \theta_1^{m_1} \dots \theta_n^{m_n} \mid m_j = 0, 1 \}$$

is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{U} of dimension 2^n .

The δ -Function

Using eq(5.43c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\theta \theta f(\theta) &= \int d\theta \theta (a + b\theta) = \int d\theta \theta a = a \\ &= f(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \theta = \delta(\theta)$$

More generally,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} f(\theta) &= \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} (1 + \bar{\theta}\theta) (a + b\theta) \\ &= \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} (a + b\theta + a\bar{\theta}\theta) = a \\ &= f(0) \end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

$$\rightarrow \int d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} = \delta(\theta) \tag{5.44a}$$

Doing calculus by series expansion is tedious. A more pleasant approach is as follows.

In a series expansion of $f(\theta)$, each monomial term is linear in every θ_j . Therefore, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j}$ must be free of

θ_j . Thus, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j}$ can be obtained in 2 steps. First, do the partial derivative using ordinary calculus

formulas while observing the anti-symmetric rules. Secondly, set $\theta_j = 0$.

For example, using [see eq(1.50) of §1.6]

$$\int d\theta A = \left. \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \right|_{\theta=0}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} &= \theta e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} \Big|_{\bar{\theta}=0} = e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} \theta \Big|_{\bar{\theta}=0} \\ &= \theta \end{aligned} \quad [\theta(\bar{\theta}\theta) = (\bar{\theta}\theta)\theta]$$

$$\int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}\theta} f(\theta) = \int d\theta \theta f(\theta) = \left(f(\theta) - \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \right)_{\theta=0} = f(0)$$

Still more generally,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}(\theta-\theta')} f(\theta) &= \int d\theta (\theta - \theta') f(\theta) \\ &= \left(f(\theta) - (\theta - \theta') \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)_{\theta=0} \\ &= f(0) + \theta' \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} \\ &= f(\theta') \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \int d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta}(\theta-\theta')} = \delta(\theta - \theta')$$

Using $(\theta \theta') \theta'' = \theta'' (\theta \theta')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &\equiv d\theta_1 d\bar{\theta}_1 \dots d\theta_n d\bar{\theta}_n \\ &= d\theta_n d\theta_1 d\bar{\theta}_1 \dots d\bar{\theta}_{n-1} d\bar{\theta}_n \\ &= d\theta_n d\theta_{n-1} d\theta_1 d\bar{\theta}_1 \dots d\bar{\theta}_{n-2} d\bar{\theta}_{n-1} d\bar{\theta}_n \\ &= d\theta_n \dots d\theta_1 d\bar{\theta}_1 \dots d\bar{\theta}_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}')} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \int d\theta_n \dots d\theta_1 d\bar{\theta}_1 \dots d\bar{\theta}_n e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}')} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \int d\theta_n \dots d\theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_n} \left[e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}')} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right] \quad [\text{ See eqs(1.59-1.59a) }] \\ &= \int d\theta_n \dots d\theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_{n-1}} \left[(\theta_n - \theta_n') e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}')} \right]_{\bar{\theta}_n=0} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \int d\theta_n \dots d\theta_1 (\theta_1 - \theta_1') \dots (\theta_n - \theta_n') f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \left[(\theta_1 - \theta_1') \dots (\theta_n - \theta_n') f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right]_{\theta_1=\dots=\theta_n=0} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \left[(\theta_2 - \theta_2') \dots (\theta_n - \theta_n') f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right]_{\theta_1=0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-)^n (-\theta_1') (\theta_2 - \theta_2') \dots (\theta_n - \theta_n') \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_1} \Big|_{\theta_1=0} \right\} \Big|_{\theta_2=\dots=\theta_n=0} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \left[(\theta_2 - \theta_2') \dots (\theta_n - \theta_n') f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right]_{\theta_1=0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\theta_2 - \theta_2') \dots (\theta_n - \theta_n') \theta_1' \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_1} \Big|_{\theta_1=0} \right\} \Big|_{\theta_2=\dots=\theta_n=0} \\ &\vdots \\ &= f(0) + \theta_1' \dots \theta_n' \frac{\partial^n f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_n \dots \partial \theta_1} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=0} \end{aligned}$$

For any analytic function

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_m c_m \theta_1^{m_1} \dots \theta_n^{m_n}$$

so that

$$\left. \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta_k \dots \partial \theta_1} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=0} = \begin{cases} c_{1\dots 1} & \text{if } k = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the Taylor expansion of f about $\boldsymbol{\theta} = 0$ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= f(0) + c_{1\dots 1} \theta_1 \dots \theta_n \\ &= f(0) + \left. \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \theta_n \dots \partial \theta_1} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=0} \theta_1 \dots \theta_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}')} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}') \quad (5.44b)$$

$$\rightarrow \int d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}')} = \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}') \quad (5.44c)$$

5.3.2. Operator Algebra

Warning: As in §5.2, differences between the matrix elements used by Zinn-Justin & ours persist. However, we'll no longer point them out individually.

The Identity as a Kernel

Using

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | f \rangle = \langle \theta_1 \dots \theta_n | f \rangle$$

we can write eq(5.43a) as

$$\langle f | g \rangle = \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'\boldsymbol{\theta}} \langle f | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | g \rangle$$

The completeness of the basis $|\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle$ is therefore given by

$$\int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}| = \mathcal{I} \quad (5.45a)$$

Let

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | m_1 \dots m_n \rangle = \frac{\theta_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}} \dots \frac{\theta_n^{m_n}}{\sqrt{m_n!}} \quad \langle m_1 \dots m_n | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle = \frac{\bar{\theta}_n^{m_n}}{\sqrt{m_n!}} \dots \frac{\bar{\theta}_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}}$$

then the completeness of the basis $|m_1 \dots m_n\rangle$:

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} |m_1 \dots m_n\rangle \langle m_1 \dots m_n| = \mathcal{I} \quad (m_j = 0, 1) \quad (5.45b)$$

implies

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | m_1 \dots m_n \rangle \langle m_1 \dots m_n | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle$$

Hence, using

$$\theta \theta' \theta'' = -\theta' \theta \theta'' = \theta' \theta'' \theta$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \frac{\theta_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}} \dots \frac{\theta_n^{m_n}}{\sqrt{m_n!}} \frac{\bar{\theta}_n^{m_n}}{\sqrt{m_n!}} \dots \frac{\bar{\theta}_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}} \\
&= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \frac{\theta_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}} \dots \frac{\theta_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}}{\sqrt{m_{n-2}!}} \frac{(\theta_{n-1} \bar{\theta}_{n-1})^{m_{n-1}}}{m_{n-1}!} \frac{(\theta_n \bar{\theta}_n)^{m_n}}{m_n!} \frac{\bar{\theta}_n^{m_{n-2}}}{\sqrt{m_{n-2}!}} \dots \frac{\bar{\theta}_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}} \\
&\vdots \\
&= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \frac{(\theta_1 \bar{\theta}_1)^{m_1}}{m_1!} \dots \frac{(\theta_n \bar{\theta}_n)^{m_n}}{m_n!} \\
&= \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \bar{\theta}_i\right) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\theta}_i' \theta_i\right) \tag{5.45} \\
&= e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} = e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}} \tag{5.45c}
\end{aligned}$$

Note: in Zinn-Justin's notation, $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle = \mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$.

Checking for consistency, we get from eq(5.45a)

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \boldsymbol{\theta}'' \rangle = \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}'' \rangle$$

Using eq(5.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}'} &= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}'} e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \\
&= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}} \tag{Eq(5.44c) used.} \\
&= e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}'}
\end{aligned}$$

Yet another way to check is via eqs(5.44 & 5.45a) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | f \rangle \\
&= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | f \rangle \\
&= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'' (\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \boldsymbol{\theta})} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}') \\
&= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \boldsymbol{\theta}) f(\boldsymbol{\theta}') \tag{Eq(5.44c) used.} \\
&= f(\boldsymbol{\theta})
\end{aligned}$$

Operator Algebra

Using the completeness eq(5.45a), any operator $O\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)$ can be written as

$$O = \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}} \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}'} | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' |$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle &= O\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle \\
&= O\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'' \boldsymbol{\theta}} \tag{5.48a}
\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \sum_j \bar{\theta}'_j \theta_j = - \sum_j \bar{\theta}'_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \theta_j = - \bar{\theta}'_i$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} e^{-\theta^{*\prime} \theta} = \left(- \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \sum_j \bar{\theta}'_j \theta_j \right) e^{-\theta^{*\prime} \theta} = \bar{\theta}'_i e^{-\theta^{*\prime} \theta}$$

Hence, for a normal ordered operator

$$\mathcal{N} \left(\theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) = \sum_{m,p} \mathcal{N}_{m,p} \theta_1^{m_1} \dots \theta_n^{m_n} \frac{\partial^{p_1}}{\partial \theta_1^{p_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{p_n}}{\partial \theta_n^{p_n}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta | \mathcal{N} | \theta' \rangle &= \sum_{m,p} \mathcal{N}_{m,p} \theta_1^{m_1} \dots \theta_n^{m_n} \bar{\theta}'_1^{p_1} \dots \bar{\theta}'_n^{p_n} e^{-\theta^{*\prime} \theta} \\ &= \mathcal{N}(\theta, \bar{\theta}') e^{-\theta^{*\prime} \theta} \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{N} = \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta} \int d\theta' d\bar{\theta}' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta'} e^{-\theta^{*\prime} \theta} | \theta \rangle \mathcal{N}(\theta, \bar{\theta}') \langle \theta' | \quad (5.48b)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(\theta, \bar{\theta}') = \sum_{m,p} \mathcal{N}_{m,p} \theta_1^{m_1} \dots \theta_n^{m_n} \bar{\theta}'_1^{p_1} \dots \bar{\theta}'_n^{p_n}$$

is called the kernel of \mathcal{N} .

From eq(5.48a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Of)(\theta) &= \langle \theta | O | f \rangle \\ &= \int d\theta' d\bar{\theta}' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta'} \int d\theta'' d\bar{\theta}'' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta''} \langle \theta | \theta' \rangle \langle \theta' | O | \theta'' \rangle \langle \theta'' | f \rangle \\ &= \int d\theta' d\bar{\theta}' e^{\theta^{*\prime} (\theta' - \theta)} \int d\theta'' d\bar{\theta}'' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta''} \langle \theta' | O | \theta'' \rangle f(\theta'') \\ &= \int d\theta' \delta(\theta' - \theta) \int d\theta'' d\bar{\theta}'' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta''} \langle \theta' | O | \theta'' \rangle f(\theta'') \\ &= \int d\theta'' d\bar{\theta}'' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta''} \langle \theta | O | \theta'' \rangle f(\theta'') \\ &= \int d\theta' d\bar{\theta}' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta'} \langle \theta | O | \theta' \rangle f(\theta') \end{aligned} \quad (5.49a)$$

Using eq(5.48b), we have

$$(\mathcal{N}f)(\theta) = \int d\theta' d\bar{\theta}' e^{\theta^{*\prime} (\theta' - \theta)} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \bar{\theta}') f(\theta') \quad (5.49b)$$

which differs from Zinn-Justin's eq(5.49) by the extra factor $\langle \theta | \theta' \rangle = e^{-\theta^{*\prime} \theta}$.

Using the completeness eq(5.45a), we have

$$\langle \theta | O_1 O_2 | \theta' \rangle = \int d\theta'' d\bar{\theta}'' e^{\theta^{*\prime} \theta''} \langle \theta | O_1 | \theta'' \rangle \langle \theta'' | O_2 | \theta' \rangle \quad (5.50)$$

Trace

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } O &= \sum_m \langle \mathbf{m} | O | \mathbf{m} \rangle && (\mathbf{m} = \{m_1, \dots, m_n\}) \\ &= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \sum_m \langle \mathbf{m} | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \mathbf{m} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle$ contains only even powers of Grassmann variables so that it commutes with everything. Then

$$\text{tr } O = \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \sum_m \langle \mathbf{m} | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \mathbf{m} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle$$

Analogous to the derivation of eq(5.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m \langle \mathbf{m} | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \mathbf{m} \rangle &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \frac{\bar{\theta}_n^{m_n}}{\sqrt{m_n!}} \dots \frac{\bar{\theta}_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}} \frac{\theta_1^{m_1}}{\sqrt{m_1!}} \dots \frac{\theta_n^{m_n}}{\sqrt{m_n!}} \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \frac{(\bar{\theta}_n \theta_n)^{m_n}}{m_n!} \dots \frac{(\bar{\theta}_1 \theta_1)^{m_1}}{m_1!} \\ &= e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

whereas [see eq(5.45)]

$$\sum_m \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \mathbf{m} \rangle \langle \mathbf{m} | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle = e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } O &= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}'} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle \\ &= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}') \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle && [\text{Eq(5.44c) used.}] \end{aligned}$$

The δ -function can be evaluated in two different manners:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } O &= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \langle -\boldsymbol{\theta}' | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle \\ &= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \langle -\boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle && (5.51a) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle \quad (5.51)$$

Note that, in general, one cannot write

$$\text{tr } O = \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ (\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}')} \int d\boldsymbol{\theta}' d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}' \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta}') \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | O | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle$$

because, by eq(5.48a), $\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} \left| O \left(z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right| \boldsymbol{\theta}' \right\rangle$ is a function of $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}'$.

Hermitian Conjugation of Operators

From eq(5.48), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathcal{N} | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle} &= \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}' | \mathcal{N}^+ | \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle = \overline{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}')} e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ \rightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathcal{N}^+ | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle &= \overline{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}', \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &= \mathcal{N}^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}'^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} && [\text{By eq(5.48)}] \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \mathcal{N}^+(\theta, \bar{\theta}') = \overline{\mathcal{N}(\theta', \bar{\theta})}$ as expected.

5.3.3. Fermion States & Path Integrals

One-state Hamiltonian & Fermions

A general 1-fermion state is given by

$$\psi(\theta) = \psi_0 + \psi_1 \theta \quad \psi(\theta) \in \mathcal{U}$$

where

$$\theta^m \propto \text{state occupied by } m \text{ fermions.}$$

Let the energy of the state be $\hbar \omega$. Then

$$H_0 \theta^m = E_m \theta^m \quad \text{with} \quad E_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \hbar \omega & \text{if } m = 1 \end{cases}$$

Borrowing the mathematics of developed for the harmonic oscillator, we write

$$H_0 = \hbar \omega \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \quad (5.52)$$

We stress that physically, eq(5.52) represents any system with only two possible energies, 0 or $\hbar \omega$. It has nothing to do with oscillators.

The kernel of H_0 is [c.f. eq(5.48)]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta | H_0 | \theta' \rangle &= \hbar \omega \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \langle \theta | \theta' \rangle \\ &= \hbar \omega \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} e^{-\bar{\theta}' \theta} \\ &= \hbar \omega \theta \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\bar{\theta}' \theta) \right) e^{-\bar{\theta}' \theta} = \hbar \omega \theta \bar{\theta}' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta \right) e^{-\bar{\theta}' \theta} \\ &= \hbar \omega \theta \bar{\theta}' e^{-\bar{\theta}' \theta} = \hbar \omega \theta \bar{\theta}' (1 - \bar{\theta}' \theta) = \hbar \omega \theta \bar{\theta}' \\ &= -\hbar \omega \bar{\theta}' \theta \end{aligned} \quad (5.52a)$$

For the evolution operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(t) &= e^{-tH_0/\hbar} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega t)^k}{k!} \left(\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^k \\ \rightarrow \langle \theta | U_0(t) | \theta' \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega t)^k}{k!} \left(\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^k e^{-\bar{\theta}' \theta} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\omega t)^k}{k!} P^k e^{-\rho} \end{aligned} \quad (5.52b)$$

where

$$P = \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \quad \rho = \bar{\theta}' \theta$$

If we were dealing with n oscillators, then

$$\theta = \{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n\} \quad \& \quad \rho = \theta'^+ \theta$$

Owing to the cross terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^m &\neq 0 & \forall m \leq n \\ \rho^m &= 0 & \forall m > n \end{aligned}$$

For the example of $n = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2\} \quad \rightarrow \quad \rho &= \bar{\theta}_1 \theta_1 + \bar{\theta}_2 \theta_2 \\ \rho^2 &= 2 \bar{\theta}_1 \theta_1 \bar{\theta}_2 \theta_2 & \rho^3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

With this in mind, we have

$$P \rho = \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\bar{\theta} \theta) = -\theta \bar{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta = -\theta \bar{\theta} = \rho$$

$$\rightarrow P^k \rho = \rho \quad \forall k$$

$$\begin{aligned} P \rho^m &= \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\rho \rho^{m-1}) = \theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \rho \right) \rho^{m-1} + \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \rho^{m-1} \right] \\ &= (P \rho) \rho^{m-1} + \theta \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \rho^{m-1} \\ &= \rho^m + \rho P \rho^{m-1} \\ &= \rho^m + \rho (\rho^{m-1} + \rho P \rho^{m-2}) \\ &\vdots \\ &= m \rho^m \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow P^n \rho^m = m^n \rho^m$$

Hence, eq(5.52b) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta | U_0(t) | \theta' \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-\omega t)^k}{k! m!} (-)^m P^k \rho^m \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-\omega t)^k}{k! m!} (-)^m m^k \rho^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{e^{-m \omega t}}{m!} (-\rho)^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\rho e^{-\omega t})^m}{m!} & [\rho^m = 0 \quad \forall m > n \text{ used}] \\ &= e^{-\theta' \theta e^{-\omega t}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.53a}$$

Back to our case of a single oscillator,

$$\langle \theta | U_0(t) | \theta' \rangle = e^{-\bar{\theta}' \theta e^{-\omega t}} \tag{5.53}$$

For the partition function,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= \text{tr } U_0(\hbar \beta) \\ &= \int d\theta d\bar{\theta}' e^{-\bar{\theta}' \theta} \langle \theta | U_0(\hbar \beta) | \theta' \rangle & [\text{Eq(5.51) used}] \\ &= \int d\theta d\bar{\theta}' \exp \left[-\bar{\theta}' \theta \left(1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} \right) \right] \\ &= \int d\theta \delta \left[\theta \left(1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, using eq(5.51a), we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} e^{\bar{\theta} \theta} \langle -\theta | U_0(\hbar \beta) | \theta \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int d\theta d\bar{\theta} \exp\left[\bar{\theta}\theta(1 + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})\right] \\
&= \int d\theta \delta\left[\theta(1 + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Using eq(1.58), we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \int d\theta' \delta(\theta') \left| \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial \theta} \right|$$

where

$$\theta' = \theta(1 + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= (1 + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) \int d\theta' \delta(\theta') \\
&= 1 + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

which agrees with the well-known result obtained from statistical mechanics using commuting variables.

Remark

$$\left\{ \theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\} f \equiv \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\theta f) = \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f + f - \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f = f \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{U}$$

$$\rightarrow \left\{ \theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\} = 1$$

Connection with the 2nd quantization formulism is then obvious. Setting

$$\theta = a^+ \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = a$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^2 &= a^{+2} = 0 \\
\{a, a^+\} &= a a^+ + a^+ a = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

Eq(5.52) becomes

$$H_0 = \hbar \omega a^+ a \tag{5.56}$$

Path Integral

This section is the fermionic adaptation of the derivation in §5.2.1.

For small time ε , eq(5.53) becomes

$$\langle \theta | U_0(\varepsilon) | \theta' \rangle = \exp\left[-\bar{\theta}'\theta(1 - \omega\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^2)\right] \tag{5.57}$$

$$\rightarrow \langle \theta_{k+1} | U_0(\varepsilon) | \theta_k \rangle = \exp\left[-\bar{\theta}_k \theta_{k+1}(1 - \omega\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^2)\right]$$

Using eq(5.50), the group property becomes

$$\langle \theta'' | U_0(t'', t') | \theta' \rangle = \int d\theta'' d\bar{\theta}'' e^{\bar{\theta}''\theta''} \langle \theta | U_0(t, t'') | \theta'' \rangle \langle \theta'' | U_0(t'', t') | \theta' \rangle$$

Setting

$$\varepsilon = \frac{t'' - t'}{n} \quad t_k = t' + k\varepsilon \quad n = \text{odd}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_k &= \theta(t_k) & \bar{\theta}_k &= \bar{\theta}(t_k) \\ \theta_0 &= \theta' & \theta_n &= \theta''\end{aligned}\quad (5.60)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \theta'' | U_0(t'', t') | \theta' \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k e^{\bar{\theta}_k \theta_k} \langle \theta_{k+1} | U_0(t_{k+1}, t_k) | \theta_k \rangle \\ &\quad \times \langle \theta_1 | U_0(t_1, t_0) | \theta_0 \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k e^{-S_\varepsilon(\theta, \bar{\theta})}\end{aligned}\quad (5.58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}S_\varepsilon(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_{k+1} (1 - \omega \varepsilon) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k (\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k) + \bar{\theta}_0 \theta_1 - \varepsilon \omega \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_{k+1} \\ &= \bar{\theta}_0 \theta_1 + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k \left(\frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k}{\varepsilon} \right) - \omega \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_{k+1} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (5.59)$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ & $n \rightarrow \infty$, eq(5.58) becomes a path integral

$$\langle \theta'' | U_0(t'', t') | \theta' \rangle = \int_{\theta(t')=\theta'}^{\theta(t'')=\theta''} [d\theta(t) d\bar{\theta}(t)] e^{-S_0(\theta, \bar{\theta})}\quad (5.61)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}[d\theta(t) d\bar{\theta}(t)] &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k \\ S_0(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \bar{\theta}(t'') \theta(t') + \int_{t'}^{t''} dt \bar{\theta}(t) [\dot{\theta}(t) - \omega \theta(t)]\end{aligned}\quad (5.62)$$

Partition Function

Eqs(5.51a & 5.58-9) give

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= \text{tr } U_0(\hbar\beta/2, -\hbar\beta/2) \\ &= \int d\theta_0 d\bar{\theta}_0 e^{\bar{\theta}_0 \theta_0} \langle -\theta_0 | U_0(\hbar\beta/2, -\hbar\beta/2) | \theta_0 \rangle \\ &= \int \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k e^{-S_\varepsilon(\theta, \bar{\theta})}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\hbar\beta/2) &= \theta_n = -\theta_0 & \& & \theta(-\hbar\beta/2) &= \theta_0 \\ S_\varepsilon(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= -\bar{\theta}_0 \theta_0 + \bar{\theta}_0 \theta_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k (\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k) - \varepsilon \omega \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\bar{\theta}_k (\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k) - \varepsilon \omega \bar{\theta}_k \theta_{k+1}]\end{aligned}$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ & $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \int [d\theta(t) d\bar{\theta}(t)] e^{-S_0(\theta, \bar{\theta})}\quad (5.64)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} [d\theta(t)d\bar{\theta}(t)] &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} d\theta_k d\bar{\theta}_k & \& \quad \theta(\hbar\beta/2) = -\theta(-\hbar\beta/2) \\ S_0(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \bar{\theta}(t) [\dot{\theta}(t) - \omega\theta(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

Note that the boundary condition is anti-periodic.

General Gaussian Integral

This section is the fermionic adaptation of the derivation in §“Generating Functional” of §5.2.1.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 - \hbar \left(\bar{\eta}(t) \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \eta(t) \right) \\ &= \hbar \left(\omega \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \bar{\eta}(t) \theta - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \eta(t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where η & $\bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_G(\beta) &= \int [d\theta(t)d\bar{\theta}(t)] e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\ S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \left\{ \bar{\theta}(t) [\dot{\theta}(t) - \omega\theta(t)] - \bar{\eta}(t) \theta(t) - \bar{\theta}(t) \eta(t) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.66)$$

Note that each term in H is still quadratic in Grassmann variables so that the trace formulae eqs(5.51-a) remain applicable to U_G .

The classical equation of motion (with $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \propto$ momentum) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\theta}}_c &= -\frac{1}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, H \right]_{\theta=\theta_c, \partial/\partial\theta=\bar{\theta}_c} \\ &= -\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \omega \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \bar{\eta}(t) \theta - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \eta(t) \right]_c \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (a + b\theta) = 0$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] f &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f \right) - \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f \\ \rightarrow \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \bar{\eta}(t) \theta \right] f &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\bar{\eta}(t) \theta f) - \bar{\eta}(t) \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f = -\bar{\eta}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\theta f) - \bar{\eta}(t) \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f \\ &= -\bar{\eta}(t) \left(f - \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f \right) = -\bar{\eta}(t) f \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \bar{\eta}(t) \theta \right] = -\bar{\eta}(t)$$

Therefore, the classical equation of motion is

$$\dot{\bar{\theta}}_c = -\omega \bar{\theta}_c - \bar{\eta}(t) \tag{5.67b}$$

Note: In our formulism, Zinn-Justin's eq(5.67a) should not be used in the following calculations.

The green's function associated with eq(5.67b) is

$$\dot{\Delta}(t) + \omega \Delta(t) = \delta(t) \tag{5.67c}$$

so that

$$\bar{\theta}_c(t) = - \int_{t'}^{t''} du \Delta(t-u) \bar{\eta}(u) \tag{5.68}$$

Checking for consistency, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\theta}}_c(t) &= - \int_{t'}^{t''} du \dot{\Delta}(t-u) \bar{\eta}(u) \\ &= - \int_{t'}^{t''} du [-\omega \Delta(t-u) + \delta(t-u)] \bar{\eta}(u) \\ &= -\omega \bar{\theta}_c(t) - \bar{\eta}(t) \quad \text{as required.} \end{aligned}$$

Δ is an ordinary complex function satisfying the anti-periodic B.C. [see eq(5.65)]

$$\Delta(\beta \hbar \omega / 2) = -\Delta(-\beta \hbar \omega / 2)$$

Following the derivation of eq(5.30), we have

$$\Delta(t) = \begin{cases} c e^{-\omega t} & \text{for } t > 0 \\ c' e^{-\omega t} & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

where the discontinuity at $t = 0$ & anti-periodic B.C. give

$$\begin{aligned} c - c' &= 1 & \& & c e^{-\beta \hbar \omega / 2} &= -c' e^{\beta \hbar \omega / 2} \\ \rightarrow c &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}} = \frac{e^{\beta \hbar \omega / 2}}{2 \cosh(\beta \hbar \omega / 2)} \\ c' &= -\frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}}{1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}} = -\frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega / 2}}{2 \cosh(\beta \hbar \omega / 2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \Delta(t) &= \frac{e^{-\omega t}}{1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ -e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases} \tag{5.69a} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\omega t}}{2(1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega})} \left[\epsilon(t) (1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}) + 1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ -1 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \Delta(t) = \frac{e^{-\omega t}}{2} [\epsilon(t) + \tanh(\beta \hbar \omega / 2)] \tag{5.69}$$

Setting

$$\theta(t) = \theta_c(t) + r(t)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_c(\beta \hbar \omega / 2) &= \theta(\beta \hbar \omega / 2) = -\theta(-\beta \hbar \omega / 2) = -\theta_c(-\beta \hbar \omega / 2) \\ \rightarrow r(\beta \hbar \omega / 2) &= r(-\beta \hbar \omega / 2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

we have, from eq(5.66)

$$\begin{aligned} S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= - \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \left\{ \left[\dot{\bar{\theta}}(t) + \omega \bar{\theta}(t) \right] \theta(t) + \bar{\eta}(t) \theta(t) + \bar{\theta}(t) \eta(t) \right\} \\ &= - \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \left\{ \left(\dot{\bar{\theta}}_c + \dot{\bar{r}} + \omega \bar{\theta}_c + \omega \bar{r} \right) (\theta_c + r) + \bar{\eta} (\theta_c + r) + (\bar{\theta}_c + \bar{r}) \eta \right\} \\ &= S_0(r, \bar{r}) + S_G(\theta_c, \bar{\theta}_c) + S_L(\theta_c, r) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(r, \bar{r}) &= - \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \left(\dot{\bar{r}} + \omega \bar{r} \right) r \\ S_G(\theta_c, \bar{\theta}_c) &= - \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \left\{ \left(\dot{\bar{\theta}}_c + \omega \bar{\theta}_c \right) \theta_c + \bar{\eta} \theta_c + \bar{\theta}_c \eta \right\} \\ &= - \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \bar{\theta}_c \eta \\ &= \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt d u \Delta(t-u) \bar{\eta}(u) \eta(t) \\ S_L(\theta_c, r) &= - \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \left\{ \left(\dot{\bar{\theta}}_c + \omega \bar{\theta}_c \right) r + \left(\dot{\bar{r}} + \omega \bar{r} \right) \theta_c + \bar{\eta} r + \bar{r} \eta \right\} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.70}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_G(\beta) &= \int [d r(t) d \bar{r}(t)] e^{-S_0(r, \bar{r})} e^{-S_G(\theta_c, \bar{\theta}_c)} \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) e^{-S_G(\theta_c, \bar{\theta}_c)} \\ &= \left(1 + e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} \right) \exp \left[- \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt d u \Delta(t-u) \bar{\eta}(u) \eta(t) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.71}$$

Since each term in S_G is quadratic in Grassmann variables, we get from eq(5.66)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(t_1)} e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} &= - \frac{\delta S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})}{\delta \bar{\eta}(t_1)} e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} = \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(t_1)} \int dt \bar{\eta}(t) \theta(t) \right] e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\ &= \int dt \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(t_1)} \bar{\eta}(t) \right] \theta(t) e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\ &= \int dt \delta(t-t_1) \theta(t) e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\ &= \theta(t_1) e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(t_1)} e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} &= \theta(t_1) \left(- \frac{\delta S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})}{\delta \eta(t_2)} \right) e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\ &= \theta(t_1) \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t_2)} \int dt \bar{\theta}(t) \eta(t) \right] e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\ &= \theta(t_1) \left[- \int dt \bar{\theta}(t) \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t_2)} \eta(t) \right] e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\theta(t_1) \int dt \bar{\theta}(t) \delta(t-t_2) \Big] e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\
&= -\theta(t_1) \bar{\theta}(t_2) e^{-S_G(\theta, \bar{\theta})}
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$X = \exp\left[-\int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt du \Delta(t-u) \bar{\eta}(u) \eta(t)\right]$$

then, since Δ is just a function,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \bar{\theta}(t_2) \theta(t_1) \rangle_0 &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)} \int [d\theta(t) d\bar{\theta}(t)] \bar{\theta}(t_2) \theta(t_1) e^{-S_0(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(t_1)} \mathcal{Z}_G(\beta) \Big|_{\eta=\bar{\eta}=0} \\
&= -\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(t_1)} X \Big|_{\eta=\bar{\eta}=0} \\
&= \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t_2)} \left\{ \left[\int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \Delta(t-t_1) \eta(t) \right] X \right\} \Big|_{\eta=\bar{\eta}=0} \\
&= \left\{ \Delta(t_2-t_1) X - \left[\int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \Delta(t-t_1) \eta(t) \right] \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t_2)} X \right\} \Big|_{\eta=\bar{\eta}=0} \\
&= \Delta(t_2-t_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.72}$$

Remark

See Zinn-Justin's text.

The Gaussian Integration & the $\varepsilon(0)$ Problem

This section is the fermionic adaptation of the derivation in §“Verification” of §5.2.1.

From eq(5.65), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial S_0}{\partial \omega} &= -\int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \bar{\theta}(t) \theta(t) \\
\rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \ln \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= -\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0(\beta)} \int [d\theta(t) d\bar{\theta}(t)] \frac{\partial S_0}{\partial \omega} e^{-S_0(\theta, \bar{\theta})} \quad [\text{Eq(5.65) used.}] \\
&= \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \langle \bar{\theta}(t) \theta(t) \rangle_0 \\
&= \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \Delta(0) \\
&= \hbar\beta \Delta(0)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.73a}$$

which differs from the bosonic case eq(5.32a) by a minus sign.

Following the derivation of eq(5.33) in §5.2.1, we have

$$\Delta(0) = \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon(0) + \tanh(\beta \hbar \omega / 2)] \quad [\text{Eq(5.69) used.}]$$

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \beta \omega \epsilon(0) + \ln \cosh(\beta \hbar \omega / 2) + C$$

Since the ground (lowest energy) state of the system is $E_0 = 0$, we have, for $\beta \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = -\beta E_0 = 0 = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \beta \omega \epsilon(0) + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \beta \omega - \ln 2 + C \quad \left(\ln \cosh x \rightarrow \ln \frac{e^x}{2} = x - \ln 2 \right)$$

$$\rightarrow C = -\frac{1}{2} \beta \hbar \omega - \frac{1}{2} \hbar \beta \omega \epsilon(0) + \ln 2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \ln \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) &= -\frac{1}{2} \hbar \beta \omega + \ln [2 \cosh(\beta \hbar \omega / 2)] \\ &= \ln \left[e^{-\hbar \beta \omega / 2} \left(e^{\hbar \beta \omega / 2} + e^{-\hbar \beta \omega / 2} \right) \right] \\ &= \ln \left(1 + e^{-\hbar \beta \omega} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(\beta) = 1 + e^{-\hbar \beta \omega} \quad (5.73)$$

Again, there is no $\epsilon(0)$ issues.

5.3.4 Fermions & Many-Body Theory

As already mentioned above, a system of N states of energies $\hbar \omega_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ can be described by the Grassmann algebra \mathcal{U} of analytic functions with generators $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N\}^T$.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sum_{m_1=0}^1 \dots \sum_{m_N=0}^1 c_{m_1 \dots m_N} \theta_1^{m_1} \dots \theta_N^{m_N} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{U} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}} c_{\mathbf{m}} \theta_1^{m_1} \dots \theta_N^{m_N} \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_N) \end{aligned} \quad (5.74a)$$

The dimension of (or number of basis functions in) \mathcal{U} is therefore 2^N .

Note:

1. $\psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ represents a mixture of 0- to N -particle function.
2. Pauli' exclusion principle is automatically realized.
2. $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \{\bar{\theta}_1, \dots, \bar{\theta}_N\}$ is a member of the dual space \mathcal{U}^* introduced by the inner product.

Another way to expand $\psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ which emphasizes its anti-symmetry is as follows.

Let P_n^N be the set of sets of indices obtained by picking n indices out of the set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Then

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in P_n^N} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{k_1} \dots \theta_{k_n} \quad \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \quad (5.74b)$$

where $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is antisymmetric in its indices & there are $\frac{N!}{(N-n)!}$ members in P_n^N .

For the example of $N = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } n=0, \quad & \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} = 1, \quad P_0^N = \{\mathbf{k}\} \quad \mathbf{k} = 0 \\ \text{For } n=1, \quad & \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} = 2, \quad P_1^N = \{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2\} \quad \mathbf{k}_1 = 1 \quad \mathbf{k}_2 = 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{For } n=2, \quad \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} = 2, \quad P_2^N = \{ \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \} \quad \mathbf{k}_1 = (1, 2) \quad \mathbf{k}_2 = (2, 1)$$

$$\rightarrow \quad \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \psi_0 + \psi_1 \theta_1 + \psi_2 \theta_2 + \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{12} \theta_1 \theta_2 + \psi_{21} \theta_2 \theta_1) \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_{12} = -\psi_{21}$$

The free hamiltonian is obviously

$$H_0 = \sum_i \hbar \omega_i \theta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$$

If only n states labeled by $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ are occupied, the energy of the system is

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \hbar \omega_{k_j}$$

We shall interpret $\theta_{k_1} \dots \theta_{k_n}$ as representing an n -particle state in which particle j occupies state k_j .

The antisymmetrized eigenstate $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}$ with energy $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ is therefore

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_P c_{P\mathbf{k}} \theta_{k_{P(1)}} \dots \theta_{k_{P(n)}}$$

where P is a permutation of $(1, \dots, n)$ so that

$$P\mathbf{k} = (k_{P(1)}, \dots, k_{P(n)})$$

and $c_{P\mathbf{k}}$ is antisymmetric in its indices.

For the example of 2 particles occupying states 3 & 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \hbar \omega_3 + \hbar \omega_5 \\ \psi &= \psi_{35} \theta_3 \theta_5 + \psi_{53} \theta_5 \theta_3 \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_{35} = -\psi_{53} \\ &= 2 \psi_{35} \theta_3 \theta_5 \\ &= c_{00101} \theta_3 \theta_5 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, explicitly anti-symmetric eigenstates are pointless in Grassmann algebra & eq(5.74a) is preferred over eq(5.74b).

As already hinted in the derivation of eq(5.53a), the generalization to a many-state system can be achieved by replacing θ with $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. For example, eq(5.65) becomes

$$S_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \int_{-\hbar\beta/2}^{\hbar\beta/2} dt \boldsymbol{\theta}^+(t) [\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) - \omega \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)] \quad (5.74)$$

with anti-periodic B.C.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}(\hbar\beta/2) = -\boldsymbol{\theta}(-\hbar\beta/2) \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}^+(\hbar\beta/2) = -\boldsymbol{\theta}^+(-\hbar\beta/2)$$

Interactions

Interaction between particles can be introduced as cross-terms like $\bar{\theta}_i \theta_j$ in the hamiltonian H . If H is in normal ordered form, then by eq(5.48)

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | H | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle = H \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right) \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle = H(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}') e^{-\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ \boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (5.75a)$$

Eq(5.57) then takes the form

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta} | U(\varepsilon) | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle = \exp \left[-\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ \boldsymbol{\theta} - \varepsilon H(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}') + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] \quad (5.75)$$

For finite times, eq(5.61) generalizes to

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}'' | U(t'', t') | \boldsymbol{\theta}' \rangle = \int_{\boldsymbol{\theta}(t')=\boldsymbol{\theta}'}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}(t'')=\boldsymbol{\theta}''} [d\boldsymbol{\theta}(t) d\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)] e^{-S(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} \quad (5.76)$$

with the counterpart of eq(5.62) being

$$S(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^+ (t') \boldsymbol{\theta} (t'') + \int_{t'}^{t''} dt \boldsymbol{\theta}^+ (t) \left[\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) - H(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] \quad (5.77)$$

Remark

In the 2nd quantization scheme, one set

$$\{ a_i, a_j^+ \} = \delta_{ij} \quad \{ a_i^+, a_j^+ \} = \{ a_i, a_j \} = 0 \quad (5.78)$$

where

$$a_i^+ \mapsto \theta_i \quad a_i \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$$

so that

$$H = H(\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{a}) \mapsto H\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)$$