

5.2.a. Canonical Quantization : Vacuum, Coulomb Gauge

Basics

In vacuum with $J^\mu = (\rho c, \mathbf{J}) = 0$:

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu (\partial_\mu A^\mu) = 0$$

or

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) A^\nu - \partial^\nu \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) = 0$$

i.e.,

$$-\nabla^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} (\partial_\mu A_\nu \cdot \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \cdot \partial^\mu A^\nu) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} (\partial_\mu A_\nu \cdot \partial^\mu A^\nu + A_\mu \partial^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \partial_\nu (A_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} (\partial_\mu A_\nu \cdot \partial^\mu A^\nu + A_\mu \partial^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi_\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \dot{A}^\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{c \partial \partial^0 A^\mu}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \dot{A}^\mu} \dot{A}^\mu - \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \partial^0 A^\mu} \partial^0 A^\mu - \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}$$

Energy momentum tensor (see J.D.Jackson, "Classical Electrodynamics", 2nd ed., § 12.10) :

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \partial_\mu A^\lambda} \partial^\nu A^\lambda - \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}$$

$$\therefore \Theta^{00} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \partial_0 A^\lambda} \partial^0 A^\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \dot{A}^\lambda} \dot{A}^\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}$$

$$= \pi_\lambda \dot{A}^\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{EM}}$$

$$\Theta^{0j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \partial_0 A^\lambda} \partial^j A^\lambda$$

Coulomb Gauge in Vacuum

See M.Kaku, "Quantum Field Theory", §4.3.

$$A^0 = \varphi = 0 \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \partial_i A^i = 0$$

→ $\nabla^2 \varphi = 0$ (automatically satisfied)

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \partial_\mu A_i \cdot \partial^\mu A^i = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_\mu \mathbf{A}_i) \partial^\mu \mathbf{A}_i$$

Comparing with the real Klein-Gordon field (see 3.3._RealKlein-GordonField.pdf) where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} f \left(\partial_\mu \phi \cdot \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \phi^2 \right)$$

we see that each $A^i = \mathbf{A}_i$ can be treated as a massless K-G field with

$$f = \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

Comparing with

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\hbar c}{\sqrt{f}} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2 \hbar \omega_k}} (a_k e^{-i k \cdot x} + a_k^\dagger e^{i k \cdot x})$$

a plane wave expansion of \mathbf{A} thus take the form

$$\mathbf{A}(x) = \hbar c \sqrt{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2 \hbar \omega_k}} (\mathbf{a}_k e^{-i k \cdot x} + \mathbf{a}_k^\dagger e^{i k \cdot x})$$

where \mathbf{a}_k is now a vector.

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}(x) = \hbar c \sqrt{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2 \hbar \omega_k}} i \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{a}_k e^{-i k \cdot x} - \mathbf{a}_k^\dagger e^{i k \cdot x})$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_k = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{k}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k})$, $\lambda = 1, 2$, be two orthonormal vectors in the plane perpendicular to \mathbf{k} .

Condition $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_k = 0$ is satisfied if

$$\mathbf{a}_k = \sum_{\lambda=1}^2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k}) a_k^\lambda$$

$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k})$ are called the polarization vectors.

$$\therefore \mathbf{A}(x) = \hbar c \sqrt{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2 \hbar \omega_k}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k}) (a_k^\lambda e^{-i k \cdot x} + a_k^{\lambda\dagger} e^{i k \cdot x})$$

To quantize the EM field, one simply set

$$[a_k^\lambda, a_{k'}^{\lambda\dagger}] = \delta^{\lambda\lambda'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$$

while

$$[a_k^\lambda, a_{k'}^{\lambda'}] = [a_k^{\lambda\dagger}, a_{k'}^{\lambda'\dagger}] = 0$$

Alternatively, one may want to start with

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \dot{A}^j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{c \partial \partial^0 A^j} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} F_{0j} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{E}_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi c} \partial_0 A_j = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}_j \end{aligned}$$

& quantize the fields according to

$$[\mathbf{A}_i(t, \mathbf{r}), \boldsymbol{\pi}_j(t, \mathbf{r}')] = i \hbar \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

However,

$$\partial_i [\mathbf{A}_i(t, \mathbf{r}), \boldsymbol{\pi}_j(t, \mathbf{r}')] = \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{r}), \boldsymbol{\pi}_j(t, \mathbf{r}')] = 0$$

$$= [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{r}), \boldsymbol{\pi}_j(t, \mathbf{r}')] = 0$$

but $i \hbar \partial_i \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = i \hbar \partial_j \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \neq 0$

so that the commutator relation is incompatible with the gauge condition.

The correct quantization is (see Kaku)

$$[\mathbf{A}_i(t, \mathbf{r}), \boldsymbol{\pi}_j(t, \mathbf{r}')] = i \hbar \bar{\delta}_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

or $[\mathbf{A}_i(t, \mathbf{r}), \dot{\mathbf{A}}_j(t, \mathbf{r}')] = 4 \pi c^2 i \hbar \bar{\delta}_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta}_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2} \right) \\ &= \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2} \right) \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} \\ &= \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2} \right) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \\ &= \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') - \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2} \end{aligned}$$

For $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta}_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') &= - \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} [e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} + e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}] \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since the integrand is odd.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \bar{\delta}_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') &= i \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} \mathbf{k}_i \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2} \right) \\ &= i \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} \left(\mathbf{k}_j - \frac{k^2 \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

as advertized.

The relation

$$\mathbf{k}_i \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2} \right) = 0$$

shows that $\delta_{ij} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2}$ is a projector onto the plane perpendicular to \mathbf{k} .

The completeness condition that $\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k}), \lambda = 1, 2\}$ spans the plane perpendicular to \mathbf{k} is therefore

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k})^T = I - \frac{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}^T}{k^2} \quad (\text{matrix form})$$

or $\sum_{\lambda=1}^2 \epsilon_i^\lambda(\mathbf{k}) \epsilon_j^\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{k^2}$ (component form)

The two approaches to quantization are therefore equivalent.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{A}) \cdot \partial^\mu \mathbf{A} \quad \pi_j = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}_j$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}} - \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}} + (\partial_i \mathbf{A}_j) \partial_i \mathbf{A}_j \right)$$

In the Coulomb gauge,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \dot{\mathbf{A}}$$

Using $\partial_j \mathbf{A}_j = 0$,

$$\rightarrow (\partial_j \mathbf{A}_k) \partial_k \mathbf{A}_j = \partial_j (\mathbf{A}_k \partial_k \mathbf{A}_j) = \partial_j \partial_k (\mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{A}_j) = 0$$

we have

$$\mathbf{B}^2 = \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \mathbf{A}_k \varepsilon_{ilm} \partial_l \mathbf{A}_m = (\delta_{jl} \delta_{km} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{kl}) \partial_j \mathbf{A}_k \partial_l \mathbf{A}_m$$

$$= (\partial_j \mathbf{A}_k) \partial_j \mathbf{A}_k - (\partial_j \mathbf{A}_k) \partial_k \mathbf{A}_j$$

$$= (\partial_j \mathbf{A}_k) \partial_j \mathbf{A}_k$$

$$= \partial_j (\mathbf{A}_k \partial_j \mathbf{A}_k) - \mathbf{A}_k \partial_j \partial_j \mathbf{A}_k$$

$$= \partial_j (\mathbf{A}_k \partial_j \mathbf{A}_k) - \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{A}$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{H}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2)$$

$$H_{\text{EM}} = \int d^3 r \mathcal{H}_{\text{EM}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3 r (\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3 r \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} \right)$$

Treated as the sum of 2 K-G fields, we have

$$H_{\text{EM}} = \int d^3 k \sum_{\lambda=1}^2 \hbar \omega_k \left(a_k^{\lambda+} a_k^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \delta(0) \right)$$

where, since $m=0$,

$$\omega_k = c |\mathbf{k}|$$

Also,

$$\mathbf{p} = \int d^3 k \sum_{\lambda=1}^2 \hbar \mathbf{k} a_k^{\lambda+} a_k^\lambda$$

$$\Theta^{0j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}}}{\partial \partial_0 A^\lambda} \partial^j A^\lambda = c \pi_\lambda \partial^j A^\lambda$$

In the Coulomb gauge,

$$\Theta^{0j} = -\frac{1}{4\pi c} \dot{\mathbf{A}}_i \partial_j \mathbf{A}_i = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{A}$$

$$(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})_i = [\mathbf{E} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})]_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{E}_j \varepsilon_{klm} \partial_l \mathbf{A}_m$$

$$= (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) \mathbf{E}_j \partial_l \mathbf{A}_m$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_j \partial_i \mathbf{A}_j - \mathbf{E}_j \partial_j \mathbf{A}_i$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}_i$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{A} - \nabla(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{A}_i) + \mathbf{A}_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{E} \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{A} - \nabla(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{A}_i) \\
\therefore \Theta^{0j} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} [(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})_j + \nabla(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{A}_j)] \\
\frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r \Theta^{0j} &= \frac{1}{4\pi c} \int d^3 r (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})_j = \int d^3 r \mathbf{g}_j
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{4\pi c} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ is the EM momentum density.

We wish to show that

$$\mathbf{p} = \int d^3 r \mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r \Theta^{0j}$$

using

$$\mathbf{A}(x) = \hbar c \sqrt{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\hbar\omega_k}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^\lambda(\mathbf{k}) (a_{\mathbf{k}}^\lambda e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\lambda+} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}})$$

The relevant procedure is well discussed in Chapter 3.

That $-\frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int d^3 r \dot{\mathbf{A}}_i \partial_j \mathbf{A}_i$ should give something of the form

$$\int d^3 k \sum_{\lambda=1}^2 f(\mathbf{k}) a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\lambda+} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\lambda$$

is obvious. What needs to be done is to show that $f(\mathbf{k}) = \hbar \mathbf{k}$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{A}}_i &\text{ gives a factor } \frac{\hbar c \sqrt{4\pi}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\hbar\omega_k}} (\mp i \omega_k) \\
\partial_j \mathbf{A}_i &\text{ gives a factor } \frac{\hbar c \sqrt{4\pi}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\hbar\omega_k}} (\pm i \mathbf{k}) \\
\int d^3 r &\text{ gives a factor } (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')
\end{aligned}$$

where the upper / lower sign applies to the $a_{\mathbf{k}}/a_{\mathbf{k}}^+$ term.

Only the cross terms $a_{\mathbf{k}}^+ a_{\mathbf{k}}$ or $a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^+$ survive so the over all factor is

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \frac{\hbar c \sqrt{4\pi}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\hbar\omega_k}} (\mp i \omega_k) \frac{\hbar c \sqrt{4\pi}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\hbar\omega_k}} (\mp i \mathbf{k}) (2\pi)^3 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \hbar \mathbf{k} \text{ as required.}
\end{aligned}$$