

6.3. Canonical Quantization

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= c \bar{\psi} (i \hbar \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m c) \psi \\ &= c \hbar \bar{\psi} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m^*) \psi\end{aligned}$$

$$m^* = \frac{m c}{\hbar}$$

$$\rightarrow \pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\psi}} = i \hbar \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 = i \hbar \psi^\dagger$$

$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\bar{\psi}}} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= i \hbar \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \dot{\psi} - \mathcal{L} \\ &= c \hbar \bar{\psi} (-i \gamma^j \partial_j + m^*) \psi \\ &= c \hbar \bar{\psi} (-i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \nabla + m^*) \psi\end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow H = c \hbar \int d^3 r \bar{\psi} (-i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \nabla + m^*) \psi$$

Plane wave expansion (cf. K-G field) :

$$\psi(x) = \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_k [c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) u_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x} + d_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) v_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{i k \cdot x}]$$

where α_k is a real normalization constant to be determined.

As in the K-G case,

$c_\sigma(\mathbf{k})$ kills a particle with positive energy $E = \hbar \omega_k \geq 0$.

$d_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ creates an anti-particle with positive energy $E = \hbar \omega_k \geq 0$.

Note: Actually, $d_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ represents the interpretation of a particle with energy $-\hbar \omega_k$, spin σ & momentum $\hbar \mathbf{k}$ as an antiparticle with energy $\hbar \omega_k$, spin $-\sigma$ & momentum $-\hbar \mathbf{k}$. (See for example, the section on Dirac sea in Ezawa's book.)

$$\rightarrow \psi^\dagger(x) = \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_k [c_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) u_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{i k \cdot x} + d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) v_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x}]$$

Note: c_σ is a scalar operator so $c_\sigma u_\sigma = u_\sigma c_\sigma$, & similarly for c_σ^\dagger , d_σ & d_σ^\dagger .

$$\therefore \bar{\psi}(x) = \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_k [c_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \bar{u}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{i k \cdot x} + d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \bar{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}(-i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \nabla + m^*) \psi(x) &= \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_k [c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) u_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x} \\ &\quad + d_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) (-\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) v_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{i k \cdot x}]\end{aligned}$$

Plane Wave Expansion of H

In the evaluation of H ,

$$\int d^3 r \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ll} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') & \text{for } c_\sigma^\dagger c_{\sigma'} \text{ \& } d_\sigma d_{\sigma'}^\dagger \text{ terms} \\ (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') & \text{for } c_\sigma^\dagger d_{\sigma'}^\dagger \text{ \& } c_\sigma d_{\sigma'} \text{ terms} \end{array}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
H = c \hbar \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \int d^3 k & \left[\alpha_k^2 c_{\sigma'}^+(\mathbf{k}) c_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) \bar{u}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \right. \\
& + \alpha_k^2 d_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) d_{\sigma'}^+(\mathbf{k}) \bar{v}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) (-\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \\
& + \alpha_{-k} \alpha_k c_{\sigma'}^+(-\mathbf{k}) d_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{k}) e^{2i\omega_k t} \bar{u}_{\sigma}(-\mathbf{k}) (-\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \\
& \left. + \alpha_{-k} \alpha_k d_{\sigma}(-\mathbf{k}) c_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-2i\omega_k t} \bar{v}_{\sigma}(-\mathbf{k}) (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Using (see 6.2._PlaneWaveSolutions.pdf)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k &= \sqrt{\frac{k_0 + m^*}{2m^*}} & B_k &= \frac{1}{k_0 + m^*} \\
A_k^2(1 - \mathbf{k}^2 B_k^2) &= 1 & A_k^2(1 + \mathbf{k}^2 B_k^2) &= \frac{k_0}{m^*} & 2 A_k^2 B_k &= \frac{1}{m^*} \\
u_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{\sigma} \\ B_k \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} & v_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k \begin{pmatrix} B_k \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma} \\ \chi_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \\
\bar{u}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k (\chi_{\sigma}^T, -B_k \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}) & \bar{v}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k (B_k \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}, -\chi_{\sigma}^T) \\
u_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k (\chi_{\sigma}^T, B_k \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}) & v_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k (B_k \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}, \chi_{\sigma}^T) \\
&= \bar{u}_{\sigma}(-\mathbf{k}) & &= -\bar{v}_{\sigma}(-\mathbf{k}) \\
\bar{u}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} & \bar{v}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= -\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \\
\bar{u}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= 0 & \bar{v}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

&

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 = \mathbf{k}^2$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} u_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k \begin{pmatrix} B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_{\sigma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} & \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} v_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma} \\ -B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \\
\therefore \bar{u}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k^2 (\chi_{\sigma}^T, -B_k \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \begin{pmatrix} B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_{\sigma'} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma'} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2 A_k^2 B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_{\sigma}^T \chi_{\sigma'} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m^*} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \\
m^* \bar{u}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} m^* \\
\rightarrow \bar{u}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m^*} + m^* \right) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \\
-\bar{v}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= -A_k^2 (B_k \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}, -\chi_{\sigma}^T) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma'} \\ -B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_{\sigma'} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -2 A_k^2 B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_{\sigma}^T \chi_{\sigma'} = -\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m^*} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \\
m^* \bar{v}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= -\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} m^* \\
\rightarrow \bar{v}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) (-\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= -\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m^*} + m^* \right) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \\
-\bar{u}_{\sigma}(-\mathbf{k}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= -A_k^2 (\chi_{\sigma}^T, B_k \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma'} \\ -B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_{\sigma'} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -A_k^2 (1 - B_k^2 \mathbf{k}^2) \chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma'} \\
&= -\chi_{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_{\sigma'}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m^* \bar{u}_\sigma(-\mathbf{k}) v_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) &= m^* A_k^2 \left(\chi_\sigma^T, B_k \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \right) \begin{pmatrix} B_k \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\ \chi_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2 m^* A_k^2 B_k \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\
&= \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\
\rightarrow \bar{u}_\sigma(-\mathbf{k}) (-\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) v_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) &= 0 \\
\bar{v}_\sigma(-\mathbf{k}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} u_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) &= A_k^2 \left(-B_k \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}, -\chi_\sigma^T \right) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\ -B_k \mathbf{k}^2 \chi_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -A_k^2 (1 - B_k^2 \mathbf{k}^2) \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\
&= -\chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\
m^* \bar{v}_\sigma(-\mathbf{k}) u_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) &= m^* A_k^2 \left(\chi_\sigma^T, B_k \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \right) \begin{pmatrix} B_k \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\ \chi_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2 m^* A_k^2 B_k \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\
&= \chi_\sigma^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \chi_\sigma \\
\rightarrow \bar{v}_\sigma(-\mathbf{k}) (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m^*) u_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) &= 0 \\
\therefore H &= c \hbar \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \alpha_k^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m^*} + m^* \right) [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) - d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k})] \\
&= \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \alpha_k^2 \frac{\hbar}{m^* c} \omega_k^2 [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) - d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k})]
\end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\frac{\omega_k}{c} \right)^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 + m^{*2}$

Setting

$$\alpha_k^2 = \frac{m^* c}{\omega_k} = \frac{m c^2}{\hbar \omega_k}$$

we have

$$H = \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \hbar \omega_k [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) - d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k})]$$

&

$$\psi(x) = \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{m^* c}{\omega_k}} [c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) u_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) v_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x}]$$

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{m^* c}{\omega_k}} [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) \bar{u}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} + d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \bar{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x}]$$

Quantization

If we quantize via the commutator

$$[d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}), d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}')] = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$$

then

$$-d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) = -d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) - \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta(0)$$

whose expectation value is always negative since the number of antiparticles $\langle d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \rangle$ must be positive. Thus, $\langle H \rangle$ can be negative, which contradicts with our specific construction of ψ so that it deals only with particles & antiparticles of positive energies.

To avoid the disaster, we quantize via the anticommutator so that

$$\{c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}), c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}')\} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}), d_{\sigma'}^+(\mathbf{k}')\} &= \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \\ \{c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}), c_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}')\} &= \{c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}), c_{\sigma'}^+(\mathbf{k}')\} = 0 \\ \rightarrow H &= \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \hbar \omega_k [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) + d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma(\mathbf{k})] - \delta(0) \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \hbar \omega_k \\ \text{or } :H: &= \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \hbar \omega_k [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) + d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma(\mathbf{k})] \end{aligned}$$

Backtracking to the real space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi_\sigma(t, \mathbf{r}), \pi_{\sigma'}(t, \mathbf{r}')\} &= i \hbar \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \\ \{\psi_\sigma(t, \mathbf{r}), \psi_{\sigma'}^+(t, \mathbf{r}')\} &= \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \\ &\& \\ \{\psi_\sigma(t, \mathbf{r}), \psi_{\sigma'}(t, \mathbf{r}')\} &= \{\psi_\sigma^+(t, \mathbf{r}), \psi_{\sigma'}^+(t, \mathbf{r}')\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

P'

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}(x) &= \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{m^* c}{\omega_k}} [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) \bar{u}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} + d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \bar{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x}] \\ \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi(x) &= \sum_\sigma \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{m^* c}{\omega_k}} \hbar \mathbf{k} [c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) u_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} - d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) v_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x}] \end{aligned}$$

&

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} & \bar{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= -\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \\ \bar{u}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= 0 & \bar{v}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}' &= \int d^3 r \bar{\psi} \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi(x) \\ &= \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \frac{m^* c}{\omega_k} \hbar \mathbf{k} [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) + d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k})] \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\delta(0) \int d^3 k \hbar \mathbf{k} = 0$$

we have

$$\mathbf{P}' = \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \frac{m^* c}{\omega_k} \hbar \mathbf{k} [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) - d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma(\mathbf{k})]$$

Since $d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k})$ creates antiparticle of momentum $\hbar \mathbf{k}$, we expect the total momentum to be

$$\mathbf{P} = \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k \hbar \mathbf{k} [c_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) + d_\sigma^+(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma(\mathbf{k})] \neq \mathbf{P}'$$

P

Since $\mathcal{P}^\mu = (\mathcal{H}/c, \mathcal{P})$ is a 4-vector, we expect $\mathcal{P}^\mu \propto \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$.

As a quantum operator, we expect $\mathcal{P}^\mu \propto i \hbar \partial^\mu$.

Reconciling these two expectations requires us to define

$$\mathcal{P}^\mu = \mathcal{T}^{\mu 0} = \mathcal{T}^{0 \mu}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu} = i \hbar \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \psi$$

is the energy-momentum density tensor.

Thus,

$$T_{\nu}^{\mu} = \int d^3 r \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^{\mu} = i \hbar \int d^3 r \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi$$

We're only interested in

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mu} &= i \hbar \int d^3 r \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \partial_{\mu} \psi = i \hbar \int d^3 r \psi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \psi \\ \psi(x) &= \sum_{\sigma} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} [c_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}] \\ \rightarrow \psi^{\dagger}(x) &= \sum_{\sigma} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} [c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + d_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}] \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} [c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + d_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}] \\ i \hbar \partial_{\mu} \psi(x) &= \sum_{\sigma} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \hbar k_{\mu} [c_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}] \end{aligned}$$

As in the case for H , after $\int d^3 r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mu} &= \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \int d^3 k \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \hbar k_{\mu} [c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad - d_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) d_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad - c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) d_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) e^{2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} u_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad + d_{\sigma}(-\mathbf{k}) c_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-2i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k})] \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{k_0}{m^*} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \quad v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{k_0}{m^*} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \\ u_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) v_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) &= 0 \quad v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) u_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) = 0 \\ \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 &= \frac{m^* c}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mu} &= \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3 k \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \frac{k_0}{m^*} \hbar k_{\mu} [c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) - d_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})] \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3 k \hbar k_{\mu} [c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) d_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k})] \end{aligned}$$

as promised. There's no zero-point term because

$$\int d^3 k k_{\mu} = - \int d^3 k k_{\mu} = 0$$

Noether's Current

$$\mathcal{L} = c \bar{\psi} (i \hbar \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m c) \psi$$

is invariant under a global phase transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\rightarrow e^{i\epsilon} \psi & \bar{\psi} &\rightarrow \bar{\psi} e^{-i\epsilon} \\ \therefore \delta\psi &\approx i\epsilon \psi & \delta\bar{\psi} &\approx -i\epsilon \bar{\psi} \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding Noether current is therefore (see 3.5._NoetherCurrents.pdf)

$$\begin{aligned}
 j^\mu &= i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi} \psi - i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}} \bar{\psi} \\
 &= -\hbar c \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = -\hbar c \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \left(\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu + \partial_\mu \right) \psi = -\frac{c}{i} \bar{\psi} (-m c + m c) \psi = 0$$

as expected.

The conserved Noether charge is

$$Q = \frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r j^0 = -\hbar \int d^3 r \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi = -\hbar \int d^3 r \psi^\dagger \psi$$

The integral is analogous to that for P_μ . Without ∂_μ , there's no k_μ nor sign flip for the $d_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ term. Hence,

$$Q = -\hbar \sum_\sigma \int d^3 k [c_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) - d_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) d_\sigma(\mathbf{k})]$$

If Q is interpreted as proportional to the (conserved) total charge of the system, then particle & antiparticle must have opposite charges.