

## 6.5. Weyl Field

Massless Dirac theory (no rest frame) :

$$\mathcal{L} = i \hbar c \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi$$

In 6.1.\_DiracEquation.pdf (§ Noether Currents), we've shown that  $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant under the chiral transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &\rightarrow e^{if \gamma_5} \psi(x) & f = \text{real constant} \\ \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x) &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x) e^{-if \gamma_5} \end{aligned}$$

with a Noether (pseudo-) current

$$j^\mu = \hbar c \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{H}$  &  $H = \int d^3 r \mathcal{H}$  are also invariant.

In the standard representation,

$$\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

&

$$H = c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = c \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \gamma_5$$

$$\rightarrow [\gamma_5, H] = 0$$

### Chiral States

Eigen-problem:

$$\gamma_5 \phi = \lambda \phi$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & I \\ I & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\therefore \lambda^2 - 1 = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \lambda = \pm 1 \equiv \lambda_\pm$$

$$\& \quad \phi_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[\gamma_5, H] = 0$$

$\rightarrow$   $H$  is also block diagonal in the basis  $\{\phi_\pm\}$ , i.e.,

$$H = \frac{c}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & 0 \\ 0 & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix}$$

In this basis, the solutions to the Dirac eq.

$$i \hbar \partial_t \psi = H \psi$$

are

$$\psi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi_- \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$i \hbar \partial_t \varphi_\pm = \pm c \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \varphi_\pm = \mp i \hbar c \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\pm$$

where the subscript + (-) denotes the left- (right-) handed chirality.

## Energy-Chiral Eigenstates

Let us solve the eigen-problem

$$H(\mathbf{k}) \psi(\mathbf{k}) = \hbar c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi = E \psi$$

Note that

$$H \psi = c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi(r)$$

reduces to our problem only if the spinor  $\psi(r) \propto e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ .

Consider

$$f = a + b \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}$$

where  $a, b$  are constants.

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 = k^2$$

$$\rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} f = a \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + b k^2 = \frac{b k^2}{a} \left( \frac{a^2}{b k^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + a \right)$$

$$\text{Let } b = \pm \frac{a}{|\mathbf{k}|} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{a^2}{b k^2} = b$$

Hence,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} f_{\pm} = \pm |\mathbf{k}| f_{\pm}$$

$$\text{where } f_{\pm} = a_{\pm} \left( 1 \pm \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|} \right) = a_{\pm} \left( 1 \pm \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right)$$

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}|} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \text{ is known as the helicity operator.}$$

The eigenvectors of  $H(\mathbf{k})$  are therefore of the form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_s \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ s' \end{pmatrix} = f_s \phi_{s'} \quad s, s' = \pm$$

which has 4 combinations.

Reminder:  $\phi_{\pm}$  are the chiral ( $\gamma_5$ ) eigenstates.

Thus,

$$\hbar c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar c |\mathbf{k}| f_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow H(\mathbf{k}) (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm}) = \pm \hbar c |\mathbf{k}| (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm})$$

$$\hbar c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} = -\hbar c |\mathbf{k}| f_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow H(\mathbf{k}) (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm}) = \mp \hbar c |\mathbf{k}| (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm})$$

Since  $E > 0$ , we can use only

$$f_{+} \phi_{+} = a_{+} \left( 1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right) \phi_{+} \quad \text{or} \quad f_{-} \phi_{-} = a_{-} \left( 1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right) \phi_{-}$$

When  $\psi(r) \propto e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ , we have

$$H(-\mathbf{k}) \psi = \hbar c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi = E \psi$$

It's easy to see that

$$H(-\mathbf{k}) (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm}) = \mp \hbar c |\mathbf{k}| (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm})$$

$$H(-\mathbf{k}) (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm}) = \pm \hbar c |\mathbf{k}| (f_{\pm} \phi_{\pm})$$

Rule  $E < 0$  means we can use only

$$f_{+} \phi_{+} = a_{+} (1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \phi_{+} \quad \text{or} \quad f_{-} \phi_{-} = a_{-} (1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \phi_{-}$$

The  $u$  spinors are operated by  $H(\mathbf{k})$  so we have

$$u_R(\mathbf{k}) = f_{+} \phi_{+} = a_{+} (1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \phi_{+} \quad u_L(\mathbf{k}) = f_{-} \phi_{-} = a_{-} (1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \phi_{-}$$

The  $v$  spinors are operated by  $H(-\mathbf{k})$  so we have

$$\rightarrow v_R(\mathbf{k}) = f_{+} \phi_{+} = a_{+} (1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \phi_{+} \quad v_L(\mathbf{k}) = f_{-} \phi_{-} = a_{-} (1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \phi_{-}$$

where we've switched to  $R$  &  $L$  to denote handedness.

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} f_{\pm} = \pm |\mathbf{k}| f_{\pm}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} u_R(\mathbf{k}) &= u_R(\mathbf{k}) & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} v_R(\mathbf{k}) &= -v_R(\mathbf{k}) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} u_L(\mathbf{k}) &= -u_L(\mathbf{k}) & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} v_L(\mathbf{k}) &= v_L(\mathbf{k}) \end{aligned}$$

These expressions can be written in terms of operator  $\boldsymbol{p}$  if we define

$$u_R(\boldsymbol{p}) = u_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad \& \quad v_R(\boldsymbol{p}) = v_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} u_R(\boldsymbol{p}) &= u_R(\boldsymbol{p}) & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} v_R(\boldsymbol{p}) &= -v_R(\boldsymbol{p}) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} u_L(\boldsymbol{p}) &= -u_L(\boldsymbol{p}) & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} v_L(\boldsymbol{p}) &= v_L(\boldsymbol{p}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the helicity of  $u_R$  &  $v_L$  is 1, that of  $u_L$  &  $v_R$  is  $-1$ .

Also, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} u_R(\boldsymbol{p}) &= f_{+} \phi_{+} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = a_{+} (1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \phi_{+} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ u_L(\boldsymbol{p}) &= f_{-} \phi_{-} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = a_{-} (1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \phi_{-} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ v_R(\boldsymbol{p}) &= f_{+} \phi_{+} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = a_{+} (1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \phi_{+} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ v_L(\boldsymbol{p}) &= f_{-} \phi_{-} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = a_{-} (1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \phi_{-} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$$

Values of  $a_{\pm}$  depend on the normalization we choose. Since the covariant normalization leads to a plane wave expansion  $\psi(x) \propto \sqrt{m} = 0$  (see § 6.3), our only choice is the hermitian normalization:

$$w_{\chi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) w'_{\chi'}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\chi\chi'} \delta_{w w'} \quad \text{where } w = u, v \text{ \& } \chi = R, L$$

## Plane Wave Expansion

Plane wave expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_R(x) &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_k [c_R(\mathbf{k}) u_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + d_R^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}] \\ \psi_L(x) &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \beta_k [c_L(\mathbf{k}) u_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + d_L^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) v_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_k, \beta_k$  are normalization constants to be determined by our desire to write

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d^3 r \mathcal{H} \\ &= \sum_{\chi=R,L} \int d^3 k \hbar c |\mathbf{k}| [c_{\chi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\chi}(\mathbf{k}) - d_{\chi}(\mathbf{k}) d_{\chi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})] \end{aligned}$$

with the quantization rules

$$\begin{aligned} \{c_{\chi}(\mathbf{k}), c_{\chi'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}')\} &= \delta_{\chi\chi'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \\ \{d_{\chi}(\mathbf{k}), d_{\chi'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}')\} &= \delta_{\chi\chi'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{c_X(\mathbf{k}), c_{X'}(\mathbf{k}')\} = \{c_X^+(\mathbf{k}), c_{X'}^+(\mathbf{k}')\} = 0 \\
& \{d_X(\mathbf{k}), d_{X'}(\mathbf{k}')\} = \{d_X^+(\mathbf{k}), d_{X'}^+(\mathbf{k}')\} = 0 \\
& \{c_X(\mathbf{k}), d_{X'}(\mathbf{k}')\} = \{c_X(\mathbf{k}), d_{X'}^+(\mathbf{k}')\} = 0 \\
& \{c_X^+(\mathbf{k}), d_{X'}(\mathbf{k}')\} = \{c_X^+(\mathbf{k}), d_{X'}^+(\mathbf{k}')\} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

## Hamiltonian

Setting  $m=0$  to the results in 6.3.\_CanonicalQuantization.pdf , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= i \hbar c \bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi \\
\rightarrow \pi &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\psi}} = i \hbar \bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 = i \hbar \psi^\dagger \\
\bar{\pi} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\bar{\psi}}} = 0 \\
\therefore \mathcal{H} &= -i c \hbar \bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \nabla \psi \\
&= -i c \hbar \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \psi
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

In the standard representation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\alpha} &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_5 \\
\rightarrow \mathcal{H} &= -i c \hbar \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_5 \cdot \nabla \psi \\
&= -i c \hbar \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\eta} \psi
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\eta} &= -i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_5 \cdot \nabla \\
\rightarrow \boldsymbol{\eta} u_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} &= -i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_5 u_R(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \nabla e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\
&= \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} u_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{k} u_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\
\boldsymbol{\eta} u_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} &= -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} u_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{k} u_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\
\boldsymbol{\eta} v_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} &= -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} v_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = -\mathbf{k} v_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\
\boldsymbol{\eta} v_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} &= \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} v_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = -\mathbf{k} v_L(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\
\therefore \boldsymbol{\eta} \psi_X(x) &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} [c_X(\mathbf{k}) u_X(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - d_X^+(\mathbf{k}) v_X(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}] \\
\psi_X^+(x) &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} [c_X^+(\mathbf{k}) u_X^+(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + d_X(\mathbf{k}) v_X^+(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}]
\end{aligned}$$

$H$  is now easily evaluated using the orthonormality of  $u$  &  $v$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 &= \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \\
& \& H = \sum_{X=R,L} \int d^3 k \hbar |\mathbf{k}| \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 [c_X^+(\mathbf{k}) c_X(\mathbf{k}) - d_X(\mathbf{k}) d_X^+(\mathbf{k})]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = \beta_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{c}$$

$$\psi_X(x) = \sqrt{c} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} [c_X(\mathbf{k}) u_X(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + d_X^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) v_X(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x}]$$

$$\psi_X^\dagger(x) = \sqrt{c} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} [c_X^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) u_X^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} + d_X(\mathbf{k}) v_X^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \quad & \{\psi_X(t, \mathbf{r}), \psi_{X'}^\dagger(t, \mathbf{r}')\} = c \delta_{XX'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \\ & \{\psi_X(t, \mathbf{r}), \psi_{X'}(t, \mathbf{r}')\} = \{\psi_X^\dagger(t, \mathbf{r}), \psi_{X'}^\dagger(t, \mathbf{r}')\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Chiral / Weyl Representation

The chiral, or Weyl, representation is characterized by having  $\gamma_5$  diagonal, i.e., switching to the basis  $\phi_\pm$ .

$$\rightarrow \quad \gamma^0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_5 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \& \quad H = \frac{1}{2} c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & 0 \\ 0 & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad = c \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \gamma_5 \end{aligned}$$