

## 10.5. von Neumann Lattice

See 1.5.\_CoherentStatesAndVonNeumannLattice.pdf .

For  $m=0$ , the state  $|n, 0\rangle$  in the symmetric gauge represents a cyclotron orbit centered at the origin. The size of this orbit is  $2\pi l_B^2(n+1)$  (see 10.2.\_CyclotronMotion.pdf).

Reminder:  $n$  denotes the energy of the cyclotron motion &  $m$  denotes the distance of its center from the origin. Thus,  $m$  also denotes the difference between the intrinsic angular momentum  $\mathcal{L}_3$  (pivot point at orbit center) & the angular momentum  $L_3$  (pivot point at origin).

As described in §1.5, the eigenstates of  $H$  can be taken as the states obtained by displacing  $\langle r | n, 0\rangle$  to the points of a lattice of unit cell size  $2\pi l_B^2(n+1)$ .

For a square lattice, the lattice constant is  $\sqrt{2\pi l_B^2(n+1)}$  so that the guiding centers (lattice points) are given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{jk} = (X_j, Y_k) = \sqrt{2\pi(n+1)} l_B (j, k) \quad j, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Since (see 10.3.\_SymmetricGauge.pdf)

$$\mathbf{R}^2 = 2l_B^2 \left( b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

the displacement operator is given by (see §1.5)

$$D(\beta) = e^{\beta b^\dagger - \beta^* b} \text{ with } \beta = \beta_R + i\beta_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} l_B} (R_x - i e R_y)$$

The reason for the  $-e$  factor will be clear later on.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{A+B} &= e^A e^B e^{-[A,B]/2} \\ \rightarrow D(\beta) &= e^{-\beta^* b} e^{\beta b^\dagger} e^{|\beta|^2/2} \\ &= e^{\beta b^\dagger} e^{-\beta^* b} e^{-|\beta|^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

The von Neumann lattice is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{jk} &= \sqrt{\pi(n+1)} (j + ik) \sim \sqrt{\pi(n+1)} (j, k) \\ |n, \mathbf{R}_{jk}\rangle &= |n, X_j, Y_k\rangle = |n, \beta_{jk}\rangle \\ &= D(\beta_{jk}) |n, 0\rangle \\ &= e^{\beta_{jk} b^\dagger - \beta_{jk}^* b} |n, 0\rangle \\ &= e^{-|\beta_{jk}|^2/2} e^{\beta_{jk} b^\dagger} e^{-\beta_{jk}^* b} |n, 0\rangle \\ &= e^{-|\beta_{jk}|^2/2} e^{\beta_{jk} b^\dagger} |n, 0\rangle \quad (b|0\rangle = 0) \\ &= e^{-\pi(n+1)(j^2+k^2)/2} e^{\sqrt{\pi(n+1)}(j+ik)b^\dagger} |n, 0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

From §1.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta^* \beta + \alpha^* \alpha) + \beta^* \alpha\right) \\ (\beta - \alpha)^* (\beta - \alpha) &= \beta^* \beta + \alpha^* \alpha - \beta^* \alpha - \alpha^* \beta \\ \rightarrow \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta - \alpha)^* (\beta - \alpha) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta^* \alpha - \alpha^* \beta)\right) \\ \therefore \langle n, \mathbf{R}_{j'k'} | n', \mathbf{R}_{jk} \rangle &= \delta_{nn'} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \pi(n+1) [(j' - j)^2 + (k' - k)^2 - 2i(j'k - k'j)]\right) \end{aligned}$$

From §10.3, we have

$$b = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \tilde{z}^* + 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right) \quad b^* = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \tilde{z} - 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}^*} \right)$$

&  $\Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | 0, 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} l_B} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \tilde{z} \tilde{z}^*\right) \quad (\tilde{z} = \tilde{r} e^{i\theta})$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} l_B} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \tilde{r}^2\right)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | b^+ | 0, 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \tilde{z} - 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}^*} \right) \Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\tilde{z}}{\sqrt{2}} \Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | b^{+2} | 0, 0 \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \tilde{z} - 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}^*} \right) \right)^2 \Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r}) = \left( \frac{\tilde{z}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r})$$

→  $\langle \mathbf{r} | b^{+n} | 0, 0 \rangle = \left( \frac{\tilde{z}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n \Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r})$

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | e^{\alpha b^+} | 0, 0 \rangle = e^{\alpha \tilde{z} / \sqrt{2}} \Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r})$$

Setting

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{1}{l_B} \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{l_B} (X, Y) = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\tilde{R}_x - i \mathbf{e} \tilde{R}_y)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}_{jk}) &= \langle \mathbf{r} | 0, \mathbf{R}_{jk} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{r} | e^{-|\beta_{jk}|^2/2} e^{\beta_{jk} b^+} | 0, 0 \rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} |\beta_{jk}|^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_{jk} \tilde{z}\right) \Psi_{00}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} l_B} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} |\beta_{jk}|^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_{jk} \tilde{r} e^{i\theta} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{r}^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} l_B} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \left( \tilde{r}^2 + 2 |\beta_{jk}|^2 - 2\sqrt{2} \beta_{jk} \tilde{r} e^{i\theta} \right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} l_B} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \left[ \tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk}^2 - 2(\tilde{X}_j - i \mathbf{e} \tilde{Y}_k) \tilde{r} e^{i\theta} \right]\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{X}_j - i \mathbf{e} \tilde{Y}_k) \tilde{r} e^{i\theta} &= (\tilde{X}_j - i \mathbf{e} \tilde{Y}_k) (\tilde{x} + i \mathbf{e} \tilde{y}) = \tilde{X}_j \tilde{x} + \tilde{Y}_k \tilde{y} + i \mathbf{e} (\tilde{X}_j \tilde{y} - \tilde{Y}_k \tilde{x}) \\ \tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk}^2 - 2(\tilde{X}_j - i \mathbf{e} \tilde{Y}_k) \tilde{r} e^{i\theta} &= \tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2 + \tilde{X}_j^2 + \tilde{Y}_k^2 - 2[\tilde{X}_j \tilde{x} + \tilde{Y}_k \tilde{y} + i \mathbf{e} (\tilde{X}_j \tilde{y} - \tilde{Y}_k \tilde{x})] \\ &= (\tilde{x} - \tilde{X}_j)^2 + (\tilde{y} - \tilde{Y}_k)^2 + 2i \mathbf{e} (\tilde{x} \tilde{Y}_k - \tilde{y} \tilde{X}_j) \\ &= (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk})^2 + 2i \mathbf{e} (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk})_3 \end{aligned}$$

∴  $\Psi_0(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}_{jk}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} l_B} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \left[ (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk})^2 + 2i \mathbf{e} (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk})_3 \right]\right)$

The factor  $(\tilde{\mathbf{r}} - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk})^2$  is to be expected for moving the orbit center to  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk}$ .

It is also why we've chosen

$$\beta = \tilde{R}_x - i \mathbf{e} \tilde{R}_y$$

The reason that we can assign either  $\tilde{R}_x - i \tilde{R}_y$  or  $\tilde{R}_x + i \tilde{R}_y$  to  $\beta$  can be traced to the freedom of

switching  $b \leftrightarrow b^+$ .

The phase factor  $\exp[-i \mathbf{e} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{jk})_3]$  is akin to the factor  $e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$  in the Bloch's theorem.